TABLE	TRANSPARENCY							
1	Participants: Chile; Indonesia; Peru;	Participants: Chile; Indonesia; Peru; Philippines; Viet Nam; WCO						
CRITICAL ISSUES		BEST PRACTICES	RECOMMENDATIONS					
 1. Lack of coordination between border agencies - Is no comprehensive - It is not provided in English 		Article 1. 1.Centralized website 2.Regular consultation with the private sector. Requested information shall be provided.	 Article 1. Create comprehensive website that covers all trade-related matters. NC could be the best body to review and coordinate the publication of information. Provide funding to maintain the centralized website. Establish and maintain one or more enquiry 					
Article 3. 1. Lack of coherence 2. Length of time is an issue (time of issuance) 3. Lack of awareness of benefits of advance rulings within traders. 4. Lack of specialization of body in charge			points. Coordination between them. Article 3. 1. Raising awareness (guidelines / technical assistance) 2. Shorten the issuance of time 3. Technical assistance for the body in charge of issuing Advance rulings. 4. Establish a national center that centralizes advance rulings. 5. Provide technical assistance					
GENERAL:	GENERAL: Critical Issues: Lack of coordination between agencies; Risk management is poor in border agencies; Lack of transparency of notification and termination of inspections. Best Practices: Better coordination; Technology based solutions. Recommendations: Define a quick process of notification.							

TABLE	GOVERNMENT TRADE COOPERATION					
2	Participants: China; Indonesia; Mexico; Perú; Philippines; Viet Nam					
	CRITICAL ISSUES	BEST PRACTICES	RECOMMENDATIONS			
2. Needs for	rapid release of perishable goods	> "Rainbow team"	✓ Better coordination among GA (e.g. Customs, quarantine) to shorten time of inspections.			
3. Different o	oncerns on implementing certain measures.	> Single Window	 ✓ Electronic certification ✓ Single Virtual Platform, information provided in sequence. 			

TAB	FACILITED PROCEDURES						
3	Participants: China; Republic of Korea; Thail	China; Republic of Korea; Thailand; US; UNCEFACT					
	CRITICAL ISSUES	BEST PRACTICES/RECOMMENDATIONS					
ARTICL	.E. 7.1. Prearrival Processing	ARTICL	E. 7.1. Prearrival Processing				
1.	Legal foundation- to use prearrival information.	1.	Electronic process				
2.	Clear means of communication between	2.	Involve border control agencies that affect release of goods.				
	customs/ members, traders and carriers (now	3.	Dependent one effective RM				
	information will be received)	4.	Relationship b/n P.A.P and the Single Window development.				
3.	Information – minimum to process – encourages	5.	and the second s				
	information to release goods.		– one mode of a time, (i.e.) ocean, air.				
4.	Timing – minimum customs/members needs to	6.	Communication: responsibilities of traders, carriers.				
	process, allow earliest possible.		 Availability and benefits to traders, and the procedures. 				
5.	Process the information – make a determination:		- Develop further outreach materials for associations and traders.				
	release or not release at arrival.						
6.	Communicate – decision to traders and carrier.						

ARTICLE 10.9. TEMPORARY ADMISSION OF GOODS AND	ARTICLE 10.9. TEMPORARY ADMISSION OF GOODS AND INWARD AND OUTWARD			
INWARD AND OUTWARD PROCESSING	PROCESSING			
 Lack of legal foundation (Laws and regulations) 	 Come up with Laws and regulations (type of goods, process allowed, 			
2. Compliance challenge	timeframe, Customs/tariff Code, geographical limitation, etc.)			
3. How to deal with private sector	2. Depend on risk management, via documents, control and guarantee.			
4. Cooperation among border agencies	3. International customs cooperation on cross-checking documents.			
	4. Better communication with the private sector and every border agencies.			

TABL	E	RISK MANAGEN	1ENT		
4	Participants: Peru and Republic of	Korea			
	CRITICAL ISSUES	BEST PRACTICES	RECOMMENDATIONS		
	Partial implementation of Risk Management provisions (measure) by	 Creation of Risk Management Committee integrated by 	 Following international standards (such as WCO tools) 		
	border agencies.	relevant border agencies with the participation of the private sector. 2. Only if necessary and if it's possible, one stop controls.	2. Establishment of the RM Committee.		
ARTICLE	7. TOPIC 15: Separation of release	ARTICLE 7. TOPIC 15: Separation of	ARTICLE 7. TOPIC 15: Separation of release from final		
from fi	nal determination of customs duties,	release from final determination of	determination of customs duties, taxes, fees and charges		
taxes, fe	ees and charges	customs duties, taxes, fees and			
1.	Extension of the implementation of	charges	Adoption of more highly developed system to include all supply chain operator, according to		
	the measure	1. Apply the measure considering different categories based on risk management tools			

TABLE			FORMALITIES			
5	Participants: Malaysia; Peru					
	CRITICAL ISSUES		BEST PRACTICES		RECOMMENDATIONS	
OG. - - 2. Lea 3. The esta 4. Res	Ferent /lack of capabilities between A's. Infrastructure Legislation framework dership / political will concept of Single Window not well ablish istance for change k of promotion – procedures flow.	 2. 3. 	To use WCO Data Model (3.5) harmonization on exchanging/interoperability information between economies. Exchanging e-documents between pacific alliance economies. Sharing experiences on specific goal of member in pacific alliance.	3. 4. 5.	Standardization of processes / procedures to reduce documents. Sharing of risk indicators between OGSAs to standardize risk management To avoid extra charges cast of using Single Window. Political will, leadership, commitment of the highest level. Capacity building for all government agencies. Promotion by raising awareness of benefits of using Single Window.	

TABLE	FORMALITIES							
6	Participants: Papua New Guinea; Peru	Participants: Papua New Guinea; Peru; Chinese Taipei						
	CRITICAL ISSUES		BEST PRACTICES		RECOMMENDATIONS			
1. (Cooperation of agencies	1.	Political will (leading	1.	Capacity building on agencies			
2. [Different technology levels		Customs)	2.	Cooperation			
3. <i>A</i>	Agriculture	2.	Independent body	3.	Sound IT system			
				4.	One stop center			

Workshop Outcomes: Groups

TABI	LE	BORDER AGENCY COOPERATION					
7	Participants: Indonesia; Malaysia; Peru	Participants: Indonesia; Malaysia; Peru; Thailand; Viet Nam					
	CRITICAL ISSUES		BEST PRACTICES		RECOMMENDATIONS		
1.	Differences in legislations/regulation	1.	Computerized system	1.	Political will		
	between economies.	2.	Leader agency	2.	Enhance the coordination with other		
2.	Difficulties to coordinate among agencies	3.	Outreach program		economies		
3.	Different standards in data.	4.	Tailored education	3.	Common standards		
4.	Lack of enforcement of bilateral						
	agreements						

TABL	BORDER AGENCY COOPERATION						
8	Participants: Chile; Malaysia; Mexico; P	Participants: Chile; Malaysia; Mexico; Peru; Russia					
	CRITICAL ISSUES		BEST PRACTICES		RECOMMENDATIONS		
1.	Dissemination/ exchange of information	1.	Integrated controls	1.	E-documents		
	(insufficient, or inadequate)		 To develop trust and 	2.	Harmonization of procedures		
2.	Legal framework		acknowledgement	3.	Unification of requirements		
3.	Differences of resources (reconciliation)	2.	Mutual recognition	4.	Automation		
				5.	One stop center		

Elaboration: Mincetur – Trusted Trade Alliance