



APEC-UNCTAD REGIONAL TRAINING COURSE ON THE CORE ELEMENTS OF INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT AGREEMENTS IN THE APEC REGION

Presentations

Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia 15-19 June 2009

Produced for: Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation Secretariat 35 Heng Mui Keng Terrace Singapore 119616 Tel: (65) 68919 600 Fax: (65) 68919 690 Email: <u>info@apec.org</u> Website: <u>www.apec.org</u>

©2009 APEC Secretariat

APEC#209-CT-01.5

Managing Investment Disputes: A Guide for Government Officials

APEC-UNCTAD Regional Training Course on International Investment Agreements

> David A. Pawlak Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia June 15-19, 2009



Damages Claims: Facts & Figures

Eureko (NL) v. Poland (ad hoc)
Up to \$14.5 <u>b</u>illion in damages

David A. Pawlak LLC

- Libananco (Cypriot) v. Turkey (ICSID)
 "Not less than \$10.1 billion," costs & interest
- Yukos (UK-Isle of Man) v. Russia (UNCITRAL)
- \$33 <u>b</u>illion including two related Cypriot claims
 David A Poweld LLC

Awards: Facts & Figures

- *CME v. Czech Republic*, UNCITRAL, Final Award (Mar. 14, 2003)
 - \$270 million plus interest

David A. Pawlak LLC

- ADC Affiliate Ltd v. Hungary, ICSID, Award (Oct. 2, 2006)
 - ~\$76 million plus certain costs

Costs of Defense: Facts & Figures

Czech Republic

David A. Pawlak LLC

- Budgeted \$13.8 million in 2005 for defense of investor-State claims
- *PSEG v. Turkey*, ICSID, Award (Jan. 19, 2007) ¶ 352
 - Together, parties spent nearly US <u>\$21 million</u> on prosecution and defense of the claim

Costs of Defense: Facts & Figures

- Pey Pescado v. Chile, ICSID, Award (May 8, 2008) ¶¶ 723-24, 731
 - arbitration costs US \$4.2 million
 - Claimant's legal costs US \$11 million
 - Chile's legal costs US \$4.3 million
- Plama v. Bulgaria, ICSID, Award (Aug. 27, 2008) ¶¶ 310-12
 - Claimant's legal costs US \$11 million
- Bulgaria's legal costs <u>US \$ 13.2 million</u>

II. Effective Administration Of Investment Obligations & Disputes

- Properly begins long before any investor complaints
- "In-house" team or outside expertise
- Key decisions required during first 6 months of any dispute
- Nine recommendations
- David A. Pawlak LLC

III. Recommendations

- Designate Lead State Agency
 D.C.-based Liaison To Lead State Agency
 Designate Interagency Contact Persons For Investment
 Lead State Agency Budget
 Authority To Collect And Produce Evidence
 Authority To Pursue Settlement
- 7. Informal Procedures For Interagency Consultation
- 8. Public Procurement Procedures

David A. Pawlak LLC

9. Payment & Reimbursement Of Settlements & Awards

1. Lead State Agency

(i) serve as a resource on investment treaty obligations & disputes;

(ii) retain records relating to arbitration proceedings for policymakers and counsel;

(iii) serve as primary interlocutor for aggrieved investors;

(iv) facilitate early amicable settlements;

1. Lead State Agency (cont'd)

(v) collect evidence and information from other agencies regarding investment issues;

(vi) develop State's "institutional memory" on investment matters, including contributions of expert outside counsel; and

(vii) in the event of a claim, take the lead in State's defense (*e.g.*, liaise with outside counsel and experts).

2. Washington, D.C.-based Liaison To Lead State Agency

- <u>Existing</u> Ministry of Foreign Affairs position
- Washington, D.C. Embassy official
 - ICSID Liaison
 - Coordinate with MFA officials elsewhere, e.g.,
 - Brussels;
 - investor's home state capital;

place of arbitration in non-ICSID cases

David A. Pawlak LLC

3. Contact Persons For Investment Matters

- Establish interagency network of government representatives . . .
 - Every relevant central government agency
 - Significant regional & local government units

David A. Pawlak LLC



A permanent fund

David A. Pawlak LLC

David A. Pawlak LLC

David A. Pawlak LLC

 Sufficient resources for first year of costs for an investor-State proceeding

4. Lead State Agency Budget (cont'd)

"[To] provide the Department of State with a dependable, flexible, and adequate source of funding for the expenses ... related to preparing or prosecuting a proceeding before an international tribunal, ... there is established an International Litigation Fund."

22 U.S.C. § 2710(d)(1)

5. Authority To Collect And Produce Evidence

- Power to gather evidence from all relevant government agencies and instrumentalities
- Legal consequences for uncooperative agencies or officials
- Establish procedures in advance for sensitive or confidential materials

6. Authority To Pursue Settlement Of Investment Disputes

Frequent amicable settlements

David A. Pawlak LLC

- **3** or 6 month "cooling off" period
- Authority to engage in <u>and conclude</u> settlement discussions, subject to interagency consultation

7. Interagency Consultation

- Establish network of all relevant agency officials to facilitate . . .
 - Interagency cooperation
 - Timely completion of required consultations
 - Flow of information to Lead State Agency
 - Prompt elevation of contested issues

8. Public Procurement Procedures

- Competing Objectives
 - Transparency

David A. Pawlak LLC

- Expeditiousness
- Exemption from procurement rules at LSA's option



V. Conclusion

- Take stock of investment obligations
- Assess capacity to manage investor complaints
- Follow up seminar at all levels of government
- Adopt experience-based recommendations

