SESSION D

NATIONAL WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT AND GLOBALIZATION

This session addressed the challenges and opportunities faced by nations in developing a skilled workforce through education and training, and in making the workforce available to a global market. It looked at the implications of "brain-gain/brain-drain" phenomena within different economies, and the actions taken by governments, businesses, and universities in recruiting S&T workers and students.

China's Competitive S&T Workforce: Unprecedented Expansion of Higher Education at the Turn of the Centuries

Yugui Guo, Ph.D.

Consultant, Community of Science, Inc.

E-mail: gillguo@msn.com

National Innovation Interests & Competencies in a Globalized World, Denver, Colorado, May 25-27, 2004



4. In 2003 China's foreign exchange reserve reached US\$403.3 billion, 2,415 times as much as that in 1978;

Main Indexes:

- 5. In 2003 China's GDP per capita reached US\$1,000, becoming lower-middle income country;
- 6. In 2003 China had the fifth largest share of worldwide outputs of S&T publications;
- 7. In 2003 China utilized foreign capital of US\$53.5 billion, more than any other countries did;
- 8. In 2003 China began to run the largest higher educational system in the world: the higher educational institutions of various kinds enrolled 19 million students, the gross enrollment rate reached 17% of the age cohort.





Two Measures Taken to Ensure the Strategic Policies

- To Train High-Level Talents on the Self Strength
- To Attract Overseas Chinese Students and Scholars through International Brain Circulation



Tal	ole 1: Chi	nese Higher Edu	cation Ex	pansion since 1	998 (unit:	10,000)
			Regular	HEIS		
Veer	E	Entrants	Er	nrollment	G	raduates
rear	No.	Growth Rate %	No.	Growth Rate %	No.	Growth Rate %
1998	108.4		340.9		83.0	
1999	159.7	47.3	413.4	21.3	84.8	2.2
2000	220.6	38.1	556.1	34.5	95.0	12
2001	268.3	21.6	719.1	29.3	103.6	9.1
2002	320.5	19.5	903.4	25.6	133.7	29.1
2003	382.2	19.3	1108.6	22.7	187.8	40.5
2004	410.0*	7.7*				
			Adult H	Els		
Vear		Entrants	Er	nrollment	G	raduates
Tear	No.	Growth Rate %	No.	Growth Rate %	No.	Growth Rate %
1998	100.14		282.22			
1999	115.8	15.6	305.5	8.3	88.8	
2000	156.2	34.9	353.6	15.8	88.0	-0.9
2001	195.9	25.5	456.0	28.9	93.1	5.8
2002	222.3	13.5	559.2	22.6	117.5	26.2
2003	220.0*		726.3*	29.9*		
2004	220.0**					
		Gra	duate Pr	ograms		
Veer	E	Entrants	Er	nrollment	G	raduates
rear	No.	Growth Rate %	No.	Growth Rate %	No.	Growth Rate %
1998	7.3		19.9		4.7	
1999	9.2	26.0	23.4	17.6	5.5	17
2000	12.8	39.1	30.1	28.6	5.9	7.3
2001	16.5	28.9	39.3	30.6	6.8	15.3
2002	20.3	23.0	50.1	27.5	8.1	19.1
2003	26.9	32.5	65.1	29.9	11.1	37
2004	33.0**	22.7**				
		•	Grand T	otal		
Voar	E	Entrants	Er	nrollment	G	raduates
rear	No.	Growth Rate %	No.	Growth Rate %	No.	Growth Rate %
1998	215.8		643.0		87.7	
1999	284.7	31.9	742.2	13.9	179.1	
2000	389.6	36.9	939.9	26.6	188.9	5.5
2001	480.7	23.4	1214.4	29.2	203.5	7.7
2002	563.1	17.1	1512.7	24.6	259.3	27.4
2003	629.1	11.7	1900.0	25.6		
2004	750.0**	19.2**				





Projections of Graduate Education Expansion:

• Though the capacity of the U.S.'s higher education system may be surpassed by that of China's education system, by breakdown, America currently leads in the conferring of graduate degrees. In 2003 China awarded 111,000 graduate degrees while America awarded 593,087 in the 2000-2001 academic year, 5.3 times as many. China has a long way to go to catch up with America. The detailed comparison can be seen in Table 2.

Table 2: Breakdown of Higher Education Enrollments and Degree Conferred by Level in China and America (2002 and 2000-2001) (unit: 10,000)

		und /										
	Total Enrollment	Underg Enrol	raduate Iment	Grad Enrol	luate Iment	Total Degree Conferred	Underg Deg Conf	raduate gree erred	Graduate Degree Conferred			
	No.	No.	%	No.	%	No.	No.	%	No.	%		
China	1512.7	1462.6	96.7	50.1	3.3	259.3	251.2	96.9	8.1	3.1		
America	1531.2	1315.5	85.9	215.7	14.1	241.7	182.3	75.5	59.3	24.5		

Trends of Graduate Expansion: 1995-2020

• Graduate enrollment is mainly affected by the growth rate of both the national economy and the relevant age cohort. But, in China, to a great extent it is affected by public policy—by whether enrollment quotas are set to restrict growth, or whether enrollment is left to be driven by demand. My projection here is mainly based on the first two factors: the growth rate of the economy and of the relevant age group.

S	The Population of Possible Graduate Students in China: the 25-to-29 age coho						
Table 3: Average Age of Recipients of Doctoral and Master's Degree Awarded for Full-Time Studies, 1991-1994 (Number in person)							
	Doctora	l Degree	Master'	s Degree			
Year	Total	Average	Total	Average			
		Age		Age			
1991	2519	31	29112	27			
1000	2503	31	23572	27			
1992							
1992 1993	2082	31	23029	28			

Expansion Trends for GDP and Graduate Education, 1995-2020

Table 4: Expansion Trends for Graduate Education, 1995-2020 (In Constant 1994 Yuan)

	1994	2000	2010	2020
GDP Per Capita in Yuan				
Slow Growth (r=7%)	3,800	5,400	9,900	18,300
Medium Growth (r=8%)	3,800	5,700	11,500	23,300
Fast Growth (r=9%)	3,800	6,000	13,300	29,600
In Dollars (8.5 Yuan=\$1)				
Slow Growth (r=7%)	447	630	1,200	2,200
Medium Growth (r=8%)	447	670	1,300	2,700
Fast Growth (r=9%)	447	710	1,600	3,500
Country Income Level	Low	Becoming Lower-Middle	Lower- Middle	Becoming Upper-Middle

Expansion Trends for GDP and Graduate Education, 1995-2020

Table 4: Expansion Trends for Graduate Education, 1995-2020 (In Constant 1994 Yuan) (Cont'd)

	1994	2000	2010	2020
Economy Income Level	Low	Becoming Lower-Middle	Lower- Middle	Becoming Upper-Middle
Enrollment Ratio (%)				
r=7.6%	0.11	0.15	0.43	0.81
r=9.8%	0.11	0.19	0.64	1.47
Enrollment (Thousand St	udents)			
r=7.6%	128	186	387	805
r=9.8%	128	224	571	1,455

The above predictions, formulated in 1998, are rather conservative. In 1999, the Chinese government decided to vigorously expand its graduate education. Table 5 shows that in the period from 1999 to 2003, the enrollment growth rate has been much higher than the fast growth rate of 9.8 percent predicted in 1998. The average annual growth rate reached as high as 26.8 percent. Graduate enrollment in China had already reached 651,000 in 2003. The MOE has planned to raise the graduate enrollment up to one million by 2005.

Ta	ble 5. Chi	nese Graduate E	ducation	Expansion since	1998 (Uni	it: 10,000)
Voor	E	Intrants	Er	nrollment	G	iraduates
Tear	No.	Growth Rate %	No.	Growth Rate %	No.	Growth Rate %
1998	7.3		19.9		4.7	
1999	9.2	26.0	23.4	17.6	5.5	17
2000	12.8	39.1	30.1	28.6	5.9	7.3
2001	16.5	28.9	39.3	30.6	6.8	15.3
2002	20.3	23.0	50.1	27.5	8.1	19.1
2003	26.9	32.5	65.1	29.9	11.1	37
2004	33.0**	22.7**				

In 2000, the number of G-S enrolled in America was 2,156,625 in contrast with the figure of 501,000 G-S enrolled in Chinese universities in 2002 (four times as many). Based on these numbers, people might doubt the correctness of my prediction that China will catch up with America within about 15 years in producing the same number of graduate degrees. I would like to support my prediction by giving two more points:

First, since the majority of G-S in China study on the full-time basis, it is possible for them to complete their studies within the prescribed time span.

Secondly, it is important to consider the recent expansion momentum in graduate degrees production in China, as indicated in Table 5. Starting from 2005 when the Chinese graduate enrollment reach one million as projected, if graduate enrollment grows at the annual average rate of 7.5 percent over the next 10 years (up to 2015), the Chinese graduate enrollment will double the figure of 2005 and reach over 2 million. If 1/3 of these students graduate annually, the graduate degrees awarded annually would be about 700,000. On the contrary, according to the statistics of the US DOE, only about 623,000 graduate degrees are projected to be conferred in the 2010-2011 academic year. I am convinced China will also realize its goal within fewer than 15 years.





Та	ble 6. Ch	ninese S	e Student Students	ts Enr from	olled in Japan ar	olled in American Universities in Comparison with Japan and India: 1980-81 to 2002-03							
	1980-	81	1985-	1985-86 1989-90 1991-92			1993-	94	1994-95				
	No	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Total	311880	100	343780	100	386850	100	419590	100	449704	100	452635	100	
China	2770	0.9	13980	4.1	33390	8.6	42940	10	44381	9.9	39403	8.7	
Japan	13500	4.3	13360	3.9	29840	7.7	40700	9.7	43770	9.7	45276	10	
India			21010*	6.1	26240	7.3	32530	7.8	34796	7.7			
	1995-	96	1998-	99	1999-	00	2000-	01	2001-	02	2002-	03	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Total	453787	100	490933	100	514723	100	547867	100	582996	100	586323	100	
China	39613	8.7	51001	10	54466	10.6	59939	10.9	63211	10.8	64757	11	
Japan	45531	10	46406	9.9	46872	9.1	46497	8.5	46810	8	45960	7.8	
India	31743	7	33818**	6.9	42337	8.2	54664	10	66836	11.5	74603	12.7	

	able 7	7. C	hines	e Pl	h.D. R	i.D. Recipients from U.S. Universities Who Plan to Stay in the L (1990-96)				J.S.A.									
	1	990				1991 1992 19					993								
Total Ph.D. recip ients	Plan stay U.S	to in S.	Firi plans stay U.S	m sto in S.	Total Ph.D. recip ients	Plan stay U.S	i to / in S.	Firr plans stay U.S	m sto in S.	Total Ph.D. recip ients	Plan stay U.S	to vin S.	Firr plans stay U.S	n sto in 6.	Total Ph.D. recip ients	Plan stay U.S	to vin S.	Firi plans stay U.S	m sto vin S.
	No.	%	No.	%		No.	%	No.	%		No.	%	No.	%		No	%	No.	%
									All f	ields									
1,225	725	59	502	41	1,919	1,523	79	920	48	2,238	1,980	89	1,080	48	2,416	2,134	88	1,077	45
	1	994				1	1995				1	996							
Total	1 Plan	994 to	Firi	m	Total	Plan	1995 1 to	Firı	n	Total	1 Plan	996 to	Firr	n					
Total Ph.D.	1 Plan stay	994 to in	Firi	m sto	Total Ph.D.	Plan stay	1995 1 to / in	Firr plans	m sto	Total Ph.D.	1 Plan stay	996 to in	Firr plans	n sto					
Total Ph.D. recip ients	1 Plan stay U.S	994 to in S.	Firi plans stay U.S	m sto rin S.	Total Ph.D. recip ients	Plan stay U.S	1995 1 to 7 in S.	Firr plans stay U.S	m sto rin S.	Total Ph.D. recip ients	1 Plan stay U.S	996 to 7 in 8.	Firr plans stay U.S	n sto in S.					
Total Ph.D. recip ients	1 Plan stay U.S	994 to in 5.	Firr plans stay U.S	m sto in S.	Total Ph.D. recip ients	Plan stay U.s	1995 n to y in S.	Firr plans stay U.S	n sto in S.	Total Ph.D. recip ients	1 Plan stay U.S	996 to 7 in 5.	Firr plans stay U.S	n sto in S.					
Total Ph.D. recip ients	1 Plan stay U.S	994 to in 5.	Firr plans stay U.S	m sto in S.	Total Ph.D. recip ients	Plan stay U.S	1995 n to y in S.	Firr plans stay U.S	n s to f in S.	Total Ph.D. recip ients	1 Plan stay U.S	996 to y in S.	Firr plans stay U.S No.	n in 5.					
Total Ph.D. recip ients	1 Plan stay U.S No.	994 to in S.	Firr plans stay U.S	m s to ' in S. %	Total Ph.D. recip ients	Plan stay U.S No.	1995 h to y in S. % field	Firr plans stay U.S No.	m s to in S.	Total Ph.D. recip ients	1 Plan stay U.S	9996 to 7 in 8.	Firr plans stay U.S	m ; to in ;;					

How to Turn Brain Drain into Brain Gain?

Asian students earning S&E Ph.D. in 1992-1993 who were working in US in 1997

Country of Origin	Foreign doctoral Recipients	Percent working in U.S. in 1997
S&E fields, total	16,391	53%
Chinese Taipei	2,149	36%
Korea	2,056	9%
China (PRC)	4,010	92%
Japan	214	21%
India	1,549	83%

Case	
Encouraging Progress	
Of the 1,045 Chinese students question	ned in the
USA in 1999:	
. Dian to noturn within 5 years.	31 3 0/
Plan to return within 5 years: Dian to not one within 5 10 years:	21.270
• Plan to return within 5-10 years:	30.5%
• Plan to return after 10 years:	22.9%
Plan to remain:	19.4%



Trends of Reverse Flow: • Currently, China has a per capita GNP of about US\$1,000, but numbers of overseas Chinese students returned home: • Official report: From 1990 to 2003, the returnees increased by 13 percent each year, from 1,593 in 1990 to 20,000 in 2003; • Considering the special circumstances of China: vast land, rich resources, large population as well as uneven development level from region to region, it seems likely that, when China has a per capita GNP of about US\$1,500-2,000, China will turn brain drain into brain gain; To turn this possibility into reality should be ٠ accompanied with the enhancement of the political environment and improvement of the legal system.

To Attract Overseas Chinese Students through International Brain Circulation

- Reform in Overseas Study Policies
- New Policies on Absorbing Talents
- Acceptance of Foreign Students for Study in China
- Importation of Foreign Talents and Exportation Home Talents
- Mushroom Growth of Favored Programs for Talents Absorption and Nurturing
- In and Out China's Education Market
- Jointly-run Institutions
- Flow Back Through the Global Economy































































TECHNOLOGY WORKFORCE

Education, Availability, and Globalization



A Study of the G7 Economies



Dr. Sujata S. Millick U.S. Department of Commerce sujata.millick@technology.gov



Objective and Themes

Objective

To determine <u>policies</u> and <u>approaches</u> adopted by countries in <u>developing</u> and <u>sustaining</u> their technology workforce base.

Themes

- Worker development supply side
- Labor availability demand side
- Globalization of workforce

Background

Previous Studies

- The Digital Work Force: Building Infotech Skills at the Speed of Innovation, June 1999.
- Education and Training for the Information Technology Workforce, June 2003.

3

Global Sourcing within the U.S.

- Foreign Students
- H-1B Visa issues





Economic Snapshot

Country	Population	GDP (\$B)	GDP/Capita	Labor Force	LF/Pop.
Canada	31M	700	22,572	16M	0.516
France	59M	1,306	22,169	27M	0.458
Germany	82M	1,846	22,054	40M	0.487
Italy	58M	1,089	18,783	24M	0.414
Japan	127M	4,144	32,554	67M	0.527
U.K.	59M	1,427	23,978	30M	0.508
U.S.	285M	10,206	35,835	143M	0.502

Country	R&D Investment (million current PPP)	R&D Intensity	Governmen Share
Canada	\$ 17,340	1.82	24%
France	\$ 36,144	2.20	37%
Germany	\$ 55,055	2.51	32%
Italy	\$ 15,474	1.07	51%
Japan	\$ 103,846	3.06	19%
U.K.	\$ 29,353	1.89	30%
U.S.	\$ 277 099	2.63	30%

Education Snapshot

Country	Educ Expdt/GDP	Population aged 25-64 w/coll deg.	Enrollment Tertiary Educ. (00/01)	# of S&E Graduates (2000)
Canada	6.9%	41%	1.2M	53,307
France	6.2%	23%	2.0M	96,551
Germany	5.6%	23%	2.1M	65,163
Italy	4.8%	10%	1.8M	57,263
Japan	4.7%	34%	4.0M	359,019
U.K.	5.2%	26%	2.1M	95,179
U.S.	6.5%	37%	13.6M	398,622

Source: Col. 1,2- Statistics Canada & OECD, Col. 3 - UNESCO Institute for Statistics, Col.4 NSF

Foreign Students by Hosting Country and Continent of Origin (2000/2001)

	Total	North America	Asia	Europe
Canada	40,033	6,790	14,414	9,578
France	147,402	5,242	19,828	41,404
Germany	199,132	5,387	67,658	100,359
Italy	29,228	612	3,463	20,857
Japan	63,637	1,474	58,170	2,106
U.K.	225,722	18,564	74,400	109,454
U.S.	475,169	49,502	294,230	69,607
Total	1,180,323	87,571	532,163	353,365
		7%	45%	30%

9

Source: UNESCO, Global Education Digest 2003

Country Highlights

Canada – Highest percentage of population w/college degrees (41% of 25-64 population)

Germany – increasing flexibility in vocational education system for new technologies and new training, 6 yr degree program changing to 4yr system

U.S. – enrollment declines in physical sciences & engr, increases in computer science and life sciences

France - moving towards decentralization, broadening enrollment in grande ecoles

Italy – High dropout rate in university studies. 41% of secondary school graduates begin university with about 11% completing (= 5% of population that is college age).

U.K – Rise in biological and computer sciences and a reduction in physical sciences and engineering. University reform increasing fees.

Japan - The rigid structure of primary through tertiary education - needs to be balanced against creative/individualized learning

222222					
Country	Labor Force	Unemplym Rate (2003)	Hourly Compensation	Researchers (FTE)	121
Canada	16M	6.9%	\$16.02	90,810	
France	27M	9.3%	\$17.42	177,372	
Germany	40M	9.3%	\$25.08	264,384	
Italy	24M	8.8%	\$14.93	66,110	CARL CARL CALLAR THE TABLE CA
Japan	67M	5.3%	\$18.83	675,898	Researchers/1000 of labor for
U.K.	30M	5.0%	\$17.47	157,662	
U.S.	143M	6.0%	\$21.33	1,261,227	

1. S. C. C. C. C.



(in	progress)	F				
Country	Foreign Pop.	Foreign Labor	Labor growth	Immigration	Emigration	
Canada	5.5M (2002)	3.2M (20%)	70%	199,560 (2003)	19,584 (2002)	
France	3.3M (1999)	1.7M (1995) (6%)				
Germany	7m (1999)	2M (5%)				
Italy	1.2M (2000)			222,801	49,383	
Japan	2.2M (2002)			351,000	1,680,300 (1997)	
U.K.						
U.S.	32.5M (2002)	12.7M (9%)		1,063,732 (2002)		



Recruitment of Foreign Skilled Workers

All countries have skilled worker entry-visa programs

 \bullet Canada – points system for skilled worker entry – immigration used to supplement labor force needs.

• France – 1998 law, ease of entry for scientists, and scholars, and some highly skilled professional categories.

• Japan – most restrictive immigration provisions, 5 year visas to meet short-term labor needs – IT especially.

• Germany – Universities have increased recruiting for foreign students, limited success of Green Card employment visa program.

15

• Italy - immigration reform for skilled and agricultural workers.

• U.K. - U.S. type temporary visa for high-skilled workers.

• U.S. - H-1B's, L-1's program - main source of skilled workers

Education Challenges

- **#** Declining size of entrant body
- **#** Decline in S&T enrollments
- **#** Education reform
 - Standardized system 4 yr/GPA model
 - Incorporate IT
 - Market-based skills for entry jobs
 - Better connect univ. and industry research
 - Increase mobility for univ. researchers

Labor challenges

- Shift from vocation/skill-based employment to knowledge-based employment (especially IT workers).
- Tertiary education needs to be responsive to market needs – skills and knowledge.
- Flexibility in worker skills and continuous worker training.
- **#** Rigid labor rules restrict business transformations

17

Migration Challenges

- **#** Short-term (limited stay) approach
 - Japan, Germany
- **#** Long-term (permanent stay) approach
 - Canada, U.K.
- **#** Retention of native talent
 - France Forum USA programs
 - Germany Fellowships, Awards

The Reasons to Stay

- # Employment Availability
- **♯** Salary
- **#** Stable/Increasing R&D Funding
- **#** Career Mobility
- **#** Social Factors:
 - Culture
 - Language
 - Integration ability

Also the Reasons to Leave

- # Employment Availability
- 🗰 Salary
- # Stable/Increasing R&D
 Funding
- **#** Career Mobility
- **#** Social Factors:
 - Culture

19

- Language
- Integration ability

Average Academic Salaries

Country	Average annual salary
Canada	58,289
Jnited States	52,300
Finland	42,939
France	33,647
Jnited Kingdom	31,210
Norway	30,511
Australia	28,654
Spain	23,365
Germany	23,005
apan	15,481
-	

Source: U.K., The Roberts Report, September 2002







- # Education and National Priorities possibly more important than before.
- **#** R&D investments focused and stable

