Required Documents:

- 1. Nomination form;
- 2. PDF version of the research⁷;
- 3. One letter of recommendation.

PEC Member Economy ⁸ :	
ast Name:	First Name:
Date of Birth (day/month/year):	
Address:	
Telephone:	Email:
Title:	
Institution/Affiliation:	
the research.9	words): Please include a short biography of all involved with
For nominated individual	
For all others	
For all others	
For all others	

⁷ If your research has been published please also include a link to the publication.

⁸ APEC member economies include: Australia; Brunei Darussalam; Canada; Chile; China; Hong Kong, China; Indonesia; Japan; Korea; Malaysia; Mexico; New Zealand; Papua New Guinea; Peru; Philippines; Russia; Singapore; Chinese Taipei; Thailand; United States; Viet Nam
9 Note that while all contributors might be recognized, only the individual nominated will be eligible to present in Chile and win the prize money.

SECTION 3 OF 5 – Statement, part 1 (up to 200 words): Describe your research, how it can spur inclusive economic growth for women, and how it is applicable to APEC economies.
SECTION 4 OF 5 – Statement, part 2 (up to 280 characters for each tweet/headline): Please write three tweets that answer the following: 1) what problem does your research address, 2) what does your research suggest policymakers, business leaders or other stakeholders should do about this problem,
and 3) what motivates you to conduct research in your field of research? Or, alternatively, write three headlines that could appear in a major newspaper in your economy and address these three questions.
and 3) what motivates you to conduct research in your field of research? Or, alternatively, write three
and 3) what motivates you to conduct research in your field of research? Or, alternatively, write three
and 3) what motivates you to conduct research in your field of research? Or, alternatively, write three
and 3) what motivates you to conduct research in your field of research? Or, alternatively, write three
and 3) what motivates you to conduct research in your field of research? Or, alternatively, write three
and 3) what motivates you to conduct research in your field of research? Or, alternatively, write three
and 3) what motivates you to conduct research in your field of research? Or, alternatively, write three
and 3) what motivates you to conduct research in your field of research? Or, alternatively, write three

SECTION 5 OF 5 – Photo of Nominee(s): Please include a photo of each individual involved with the research (image must be larger than 250 x 300 pixels).				

Contact: If you have any questions please email <u>HWHE@crowell.com</u> or call + 1 (202) 654-6729.

Selection Criteria

RELEVANCE

Research under consideration should address at least one of the five pillars identified in the <u>2015 HWHE</u> <u>Policy Toolkit</u>, unless it offers a compelling case for a new area of women's health that has the potential to dramatically increase women's economic and social wellbeing in APEC economies. The five pillars are: workplace and health safety; health access and awareness; work-life balance; sexual and reproductive health; and gender-based violence.

Does the research address at least one of the five pillars identified in the 2014 HWHE Policy Toolkit? If not, does it make a compelling case for a new pillar that would improve women's economic and social standing in the APEC economies?

Not at all compelling	Not very compelling	Neutral	Somewhat compelling	Very compelling
1 🔾	2 🔾	3 🔾	4 🔾	5 🔾

INNOVATION

Submitted research should present not only original data, but also innovative solutions supported by said data. Furthermore, while researchers should make use of the literature in their respective fields by citing pieces where appropriate, research that contain new policy solutions or approach existing solutions from a unique angle will receive higher consideration.

To what extent are the research and conclusions/recommendations original?

Not at all original	Not very original	Neutral	Somewhat original	Very original
1 🔾	2 🔾	3 🔾	4 🔾	5 🔾

IMPACT

Researchers should provide ample evidence for why their research has the potential to positively impact inclusive economic growth for women through discrete, actionable recommendations.

Does this research demonstrate an ability to positively impact inclusive economic growth for women?

No ablity at all	Not very strong ability	Neutral	Somewhat strong ability	Very strong ability
1 🔾	2 🔾	3 🔾	4 🔾	5 🔾

Does the research include discrete, actionable conclusions/recommendations drawn from its research?

No discrete and actionable recommendations at all	Not very discrete and actionable recommendations	Neutral	Somewhat discrete and actionable recommendations	Very discrete and actionable recommendations
1 🔾	2 🔾	3 🔾	4 🔾	5 🔾

METHODOLOGY AND USE OF EVIDENCE-BASED RESEARCH

Given the need for evidence-based research to identify discrete policy recommendations for gender-based inclusive economic growth, it is crucial that sound methodologies are employed for any research used to draw conclusions concerning policy. As a result, any research under consideration should include a detailed explanation of the data collection process. Moreover, to the greatest extent possible, disaggregated data should be used to in order to support the researcher's exploration of the topic.

Was the data collection process explained thoroughly and does it appear that the data was collected in a methodologically sound manner?

Not sound at all	Not very sound	Neutral	Somewhat sound	Very sound
1 🔾	2 🔾	3 🔾	4 🔾	5 🔾

Are conclusions/recommendations justified with a robust set of data?

Not justified at all	Not very justified	Neutral	Somewhat justified	Very justified
1 🔾	2 🔾	3 🔾	4 🔾	5 🔾

FEASIBILITY

Any policy proposals borne out of the research ought to consider the feasibility of such recommendations and the likelihood that they will enact change. Researchers that offer solutions likely to be implemented will be rated more highly than those who offer solutions without any consideration of their practicality.

If any of the conclusions/recommendations were taken up, how feasible would it be to implement them?

Not feasible at all	Not very feasible	Neutral	Somewhat feasible	Very feasible
1 🔾	2 🔾	3 🔾	4 🔾	5 🔾