



Background

- Program initiated in 2010 by ICA and UNEP under the steering of the ASEAN EE&C SSN:
 - Study on estimate of EE related to increase in MEPS for AC and refrigerators in ASEAN conducted
 - Critical needs identified: harmonization of standards for testing methods and MEPS
 - Strategic framework for harmonization of EE standards for household appliances developed and approved by EE&C SSN
 - ACs selected as priority
- "APEC-ASEAN Harmonization of Energy Efficiency Standards for Air Conditioners: Phase 1" is the first step in harmonization of EE standards for ACs
- APEC economies to draw lessons on harmonization in ASEAN

Project	Overview	
Phase 1 (2012-2013)	Harmonize standards for testing methods for air conditioners among ASEAN members and develop a roadmap for the harmonization at APEC level based on lessons learned.	
Phase 2	Harmonize EE standards in ASEAN members through MEPS and HEPS policies	
Phase 3	Build capacity of testing laboratories/increase consumer awareness.	
the market tr efficiency air harmonizatio	enabling regulatory and policy environment for ransformation in favour of higher energy conditioners in APEC through the on of standards for testing methods and energy r air conditioners.	









Note

ICA managed to secure funding from the European Union (1.7 mil. EUR) to implement the complete program (upgrade and harmonization of MEPS, CB for testing labs and AC manufacturers, support to adoption of national policies to promote higher efficient ACs, consumer awareness)

More experiences to share among APEC economies

Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, Japan is ready to continue offering technical support on the 2nd, and 3rd phases of the project with provision of necessary human resources.



Definition of room airconditioners

- From the 1st kick-off meeting on February 2013 in Bangkok, the TWG was consulted on the scope of the definition of residential air-conditioners in ASEAN.
- The definition should benchmark that used in **ISO5151**: **2010** standard.
- As a result, the proposed definition for ASEAN is given as follows:

Recommended definition

"A residential air-conditioner is defined as an encased assembly or assemblies, designed primarily to provide non-ducted free delivery of conditioned air to an enclosed space, room or zone. It can be either single-package (window or casement type) or single split-system and comprises a primary source of

refrigeration for cooling and dehumidification, that is delivered with mechanical compression, driven by single-phase electric power supply.

Such equipment can be provided in more than one assembly where the separated assemblies are intended to be used together."

Summary of gap analysis of testing standards for air-conditioners in ASEAN

Methodology

- A comparison analysis of commonalities and differences was done between the <u>benchmark</u> ISO5151:2010 standard and the national test standards used in respective ASEAN countries.
- Of the 10 ASEAN countries, only 6 countries have responded to the study, i.e. Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand, Indonesia, Viet Nam and Philippines.



Methodology

- Comparison is done between each related clause in ISO 5151:2010 with the corresponding clauses in the national test standards used in each ASEAN country.
- Energy efficiency standards, e.g. MEPS, are not considered.
- Comparison is focused on <u>cooling capacity testing</u>, not covering performance testing, and heating tests.
- For this purpose, a questionnaire was sent out to the TWG members. Feedback from the members are then compiled and analyzed.

National test standards

- The following is a list of the national test standards used in the respondent countries:
- a) Malaysia MS ISO 2010:2004
- b)Singapore ISO 5151:1994
- c)Thailand TIS 1155-2536, TIS 385-2524
- d)Indonesia SNI 19-6713
- e)Viet Nam TCVN 6576:1999
- f) Philippines PNS 240:1998
- Malaysia, Singapore, Vietnam and Philippines are currently referencing the older version of ISO5151:1994.
- Brunei, Laos, Cambodia and Myanmar have not established any national test standards yet.

Findings

- The results of the analysis show that about **80%** of the relevant clauses in the standard are <u>common</u> among the respondent countries' national test standards.
- However, there are several <u>differences</u> among the standards which require closer scrutiny, i.e.:
- a)Test condition T1 vs. T4
- b)Test voltages specified in ISO5151:2010 standard (i.e. Table 2) vs. national power supply voltages
- c) Duration of test data recording and interval of data recording
- d)Allowable variation of entering indoor air temperature readings during steady-state cooling capacity tests
- e) Location of test unit in the outdoor test room, and the percentage of piping length in the two room chambers
- f) Acceptance of both calorimeter and indoor air-enthalpy test methods

0	of discussions, the <u>nization</u> , to change	TWG has agreed, <u>in the</u> some of their existing	
Differences	ISO5151:2010 clause	Recommendations of TWG	
Gap #1 Test condition T1 vs. T4 which is used in Philippines	5.1.2.1	T1 test condition to be used for determination of cooling capacity rating.	
Gap #2 Test voltage of 220V used in Thailand vs. 230V as indicated in Table 2 of ISO 5151:2010	Table 2	The test voltages for capacity testing stipulated in Table 2 of ISO 5151: 2010 to be followed. Thai Industrial Standard Institute (TISI) has agreed to amend TIS1155 standard to change the test voltage to 230V, though time is required for implementation (2-3 years).	

Rationalization of gaps

Differences	ISO5151:2010 clause	Recommendations of TWG
Gap #3 Differences in duration of test data recording and interval of data recording	5.1.4.3, 7.3.3, 7.3.5	The duration of test data recording and interval of data recording stipulated in ISO 5151: 2010 to be followed. Test data recording duration = 30 minutes (minimum), interval of data recording for air temperatures ≤ 1 min.; and others ≤ 5 min. Final reading averaged over the data recording duration.
Gap #4 Variation of entering indoor air temperature readings during steady-state cooling capacity tests	7.3.1, 7.3.2	The test tolerances of air entering temperatures stipulated in Table 11 in ISO 5151:2010 to be followed.

Rationalization of gaps					
Differences	ISO5151:2010 clause	Recommendations of TWG			
Gap #5 Location of test unit in Joutdoor Poom: Distance of test unit from room wall surface Percentage of pipe ength in outdoor foom	Annex A	The requirements of positioning the indoor and outdoor test units in the test chambers stipulated in Annex A of ISO 5151:2010 to be followed. Total pipe length = 7.5m with the percentage of total pipe length in the outdoor room set at 50%, which complies with the requirements in Annex A.			
ap #6 Acceptance of both calorimeter and indoor air- enthalpy test nethods	7.1.1, 7.1.2, 7.1.3	With the exception of Thailand, ASEAN members accept the test results from both calorimeter and indoor air- enthalpy test methods. However, Thai Industrial Standard Institute (TISI) has agreed to amend TIS1155 standard to accept results from both methods, though time is required for implementation (2-3 years). In view of this, the TWG recommends that both methods to be accepted.			





Experiences from ASEAN

- 1. Scope of work
- The harmonization exercise should start **by** <u>restricting the scope</u> of study to household residential air-conditioners, for both cooling & heating modes. This will allow the working group to focus on harmonizing one set of testing standards among the economies for a start.
- 2. Level of receptivity
- The harmonization exercise should focus on <u>key economies</u> which have medium and high levels of energy efficiency receptivity to drive the programme, who would then <u>be role models for the</u> <u>less developed economies.</u>

Experiences from ASEAN 3. Agreement with ISO 5151 The successful harmonization of testing method in ASEAN is partly due to the referencing of existing national test standards to the ISO 5151:1994. Nevertheless, compromises are still needed to make changes to existing practises in the interest of harmonization. 4. Test facilities There is a shortage of testing facilities in the ASEAN region. It is necessary to understand the capacity building plans of member economies and examine the difficulties and barriers to increase the testing capacity. Support should be given to less developed economies, in terms of technical expertise or providing advice in securing funding from relevant authorities. Other possible mechanism is the establishment of Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA) for accepting test reports from other member economies.





