



**Asia-Pacific
Economic Cooperation**

**The Impacts and Benefits of Structural Reforms
in the Transport, Energy and
Telecommunications Sectors in APEC
Economies**

APEC Policy Support Unit
January 2011

Prepared by:

Professor Christopher Findlay
School of Economics
University of Adelaide
Adelaide, South Australia 5005

Produced for:

Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation Policy Support Unit
Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation Secretariat
35 Heng Mui Keng Terrace
Tel: (65) 6891-9500
Fax: (65) 6891-9690
Email: psu@apec.org
Website: www.apec.org

APEC#211-SE-01.1



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Singapore License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/sg/>.

The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of the APEC Secretariat or APEC Member Economies.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of figures.....	ix
List of tables.....	xii
List of boxes	xvi
Acknowledgements	xvii
Executive summary.....	xviii
Infrastructure and structural reform	xviii
Progress to date	xix
Air transport	xix
Rail transport.....	xix
Road transport.....	xx
Maritime transport	xx
Electricity	xxi
Gas	xxii
Telecommunications	xxii
The next steps and their effects.....	xxiii
A stronger agenda for APEC	xxiv
1 The impacts and benefits of structural reforms in the transport, energy and telecommunications sectors in APEC economies	1
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 The structural reform framework.....	3
1.3 Structural reform of infrastructure industries.....	5
1.4 Sectoral progress and impacts.....	6
1.4.1 Transport	7
1.4.1.1 Air transport	7
1.4.1.2 Rail transport.....	9
1.4.1.3 Road transport.....	11
1.4.1.4 Maritime transport.....	13
1.4.2 Energy	15
1.4.2.1 Electricity	15
1.4.2.2 Gas	18
1.4.2.3 Telecommunications	20
1.5 Ten lessons of reforms to date	23
1.5.1 Competition is more important than ownership.....	23
1.5.2 Take a forward looking view and provide a leadership commitment	23
1.5.3 Be aware of ‘the gap’ in regulatory practice.....	23
1.5.4 Know the costs of the current regime	24
1.5.5 The commitment to structural reform is continuous and never stops	24
1.5.6 Promoting engagement from within	25
1.5.7 The use of experiments	25
1.5.8 The value of independent evaluation for designing options	25
1.5.9 Coordination and when it matters	26
1.5.10 Universal service obligations	26
1.6 The next steps	26
1.6.1 Effects of further reform	26
1.6.2 Gains from structural reform compared to other agendas.....	27

1.6.3 The LAISR agenda	29
1.7 References	30
2 Modelling the benefits of structural reforms in APEC economies	31
2.1 Introduction.....	31
2.2 The reform agenda	32
2.2.1 Air transport.....	32
2.2.2 Maritime transport	34
2.2.3 Rail transport.....	36
2.2.4 Electricity.....	38
2.2.5 Gas	40
2.2.6 Telecommunications	41
2.3 Quantifying the first round effects of reform.....	45
2.3.1 Air and maritime transport.....	45
2.3.2 Rail transport.....	47
2.3.3 Electricity and gas.....	48
2.3.4 Telecommunications	50
2.3.5 Summary	51
2.4 Quantifying the economy- and region-wide effects of structural reforms	53
2.4.1 The economy- and region-wide effects of structural reforms	55
2.4.2 Putting the gains into perspective	58
2.4.3 Adjustment costs.....	59
2.5 Conclusion	63
2.6 References.....	66
3 Quantifying the impacts of structural reforms on international transport margins	69
3.1 Introduction.....	69
3.2 Data description	70
3.3 Determinants of transport costs.....	71
3.4 Estimating a model of transport costs	74
3.4.1 Determination of freight costs.....	74
3.4.2 Results	75
3.6 Conclusion	78
3.7 References.....	79
Annex 3 Figures A1–6 and Tables A1–5	80
Data sources	81
4 Quantifying the impacts of structural reforms on air traffic flows in APEC economies	88
4.1 Introduction.....	88
4.2 Liberalisation of air services	89
4.3 Methodology and the policy indices	92
4.4 Impact of policy on traffic flows.....	99
4.5 Conclusion	101
4.6 References.....	102
5 Quantifying the benefits from structural reforms in railway transport markets in APEC economies	103
5.1 Introduction.....	103
5.2 Restructuring measures for the rail industry	105
5.2.1 The degree of vertical separation	105
5.2.2 Promotion of competition (horizontal dimension).....	106
5.3 Literature review	107
5.4 Results.....	108
5.5 Conclusion	115
5.6 References.....	116
Appendix Methodology	118

6 Quantifying the benefits from structural reforms in electricity and gas markets in APEC economies	124
6.1 Introduction.....	124
6.2 Key features of electricity and gas markets	125
6.2.1 Electricity markets	125
6.2.2 Gas markets.....	126
6.3 The state of play in APEC economies	127
6.3.1 APEC electricity markets.....	128
6.3.2 APEC gas markets	129
6.4 The gains from reform – evidence to date	129
6.4.1 Qualitative evidence.....	129
6.4.2 Empirical evidence.....	131
6.5 New evidence on the gains from reform in electricity and gas markets	133
6.5.1 Analysis of electricity markets.....	134
6.5.2 Analysis of gas markets	137
6.6 Implications for APEC economies.....	140
6.7 References.....	142
Annex 6 Tables 6.2–6.12	144
7 Quantifying the impacts of structural reforms in telecommunications markets in APEC economies	179
7.1 Introduction.....	179
7.2 State of play in the APEC telecommunications sector.....	181
7.2.1 Overview.....	181
7.2.2 Performance in the fixed-line telecommunications network.....	182
7.2.3 Performance in the mobile sector.....	184
7.3 Development in broadband accessibility	186
7.3.1 User penetration performance	187
7.3.2 Subscriber penetration performance	187
7.4 Market structure and access conditions	189
7.4.1 The fixed-line telecommunications sector	189
7.4.2 The mobile telecommunications sector.....	191
7.4.3 Summary	193
7.5 Regulatory reform underpinning structural reform.....	193
7.6 Quantifying the impact of reform	194
7.6.1 Impact at the sectoral level.....	194
7.6.1.1 Market entry and non-discrimination category	197
7.6.1.2 Pro-competition regulation category	197
7.6.2 Economy-wide effects.....	200
7.7 Conclusion	204
7.8 References.....	205
Annex 7 Policy index.....	207
8 Quantifying the impacts of regulatory reform in infrastructure on economic performance in APEC economies	209
8.1 Introduction.....	209
8.2 Modelling and data	210
8.3 Results and analysis	212
8.4 Conclusion	215
8.5 References.....	218
9 Air transport in Korea and Northeast Asia.....	219
9.1 Introduction.....	219
9.2 Domestic markets.....	219
9.3 Integrated international air transport markets	220

9.3.1 Policy in Korea	221
9.3.2 Policies in China and Japan	222
9.4 Impact of Open Skies.....	223
9.5 Steps towards NEA market integration.....	226
9.6 Conclusion	227
9.7 References.....	229
10 Rail transport in New Zealand	230
10.1 Introduction.....	230
10.2 A short history of New Zealand rail to the 1990s	231
10.2.1 Building the railway, building the nation 1860 to the 1920s	231
10.2.2 The end of the golden age 1930 to the 1990s.....	231
10.3 Privatisation	234
10.4 The government returns to rail.....	238
10.5 Regulation, competition and structural reform	241
10.5.1 Minimal regulation.....	242
10.5.2 No barriers to entry	242
10.5.3 Structural integration favoured	244
10.6 The gap to best practice in structural reform	245
10.7 Where to now for rail in New Zealand?.....	246
10.7.1 Drivers for reform	246
10.7.2 Barriers to reform.....	246
10.7.3 Small steps to support reform	247
10.7.4 More questions than answers	247
10.8 Some lessons from the New Zealand experience.....	249
10.8.1 Rail-specific lessons.....	249
10.8.2 Structural reform lessons	250
10.9 References.....	251
11 Rail transport in Chile.....	254
11.1 Introduction.....	254
11.2 Chilean geography and the development of railroads.....	255
11.3 Reforms in Chile's railroad industry.....	257
11.4 Regulation and performance of Chile's railroad industry	259
11.4.1 The rationale for regulation in railroads.....	259
11.4.2 Railroad regulation in Chile.....	260
11.4.3 Unregulated privatisation.....	261
11.4.4 Regulated concessions	262
11.4.5 Remnants of the past.....	264
11.5 Conclusion	265
11.6 References.....	266
12 Road transport in Thailand	267
12.1 Introduction.....	267
12.2 The role of Bangkok	267
12.3 Characteristics of the road transport industry	269
12.3.1 Passenger transport	270
12.3.1.1 Route licensing.....	271
12.3.1.2 Bus fare regulation.....	272
12.3.1.3 Van transport.....	274
12.3.2 Freight transport.....	276
12.3.3 International road transport.....	278
12.3.3.1 Thailand–Lao PDR	279
12.4 Multilateral agreements.....	280
12.4.1 ASEAN Framework Agreement on Services.....	280
12.4.2 ADB-Greater Mekong Sub-region Economic Cooperation Program	280

12.5 Value of coordination	286
12.6 Conclusion	287
12.7 References.....	288
13 Maritime transport in Australia.....	290
13.1 Introduction.....	290
13.2 Current regulatory framework	291
13.2.1 Shipping	291
13.2.2 Port infrastructure and related services	293
13.3 Forces for policy change.....	293
13.3.1 Coastal shipping.....	293
13.3.2 Shipping agreements	296
13.3.3 Infrastructure and related services	297
13.3.3.1 Container terminals	297
13.3.3.2 Bulk port terminals	303
13.3.4 Consequences of changes and scope for further reform	306
13.3.4.1 Coastal shipping.....	306
13.3.4.2 Shipping agreements	306
13.3.5 Infrastructure and related services	306
13.3.5.1 Container port terminals.....	306
13.3.5.2 Bulk port terminals	307
13.4 Conclusion	308
13.5 References.....	309
Annex A13.1 Port regulatory regimes in various Australian states	311
Annex A13.2 Recent policy changes in maritime transport	312
14 Maritime transport in the United States.....	313
14.1 Introduction.....	313
14.2 The current regulatory framework	314
14.2.1 Shipping	314
14.2.1.1 International shipping	314
14.2.1.2 Domestic shipping cabotage	315
14.2.2 Port infrastructure and related services	316
14.3 Forces for policy change.....	317
14.3.1 Cargo preferences	317
14.3.2 Subsidy programs and the Jones Act	319
14.3.3 Port infrastructure and related services	324
14.3.3.1 Congestion in ports	324
14.3.3.2 Rail and road bottlenecks in port surroundings.....	325
14.4 Scope for further reform	326
14.4.1 Shipping	326
14.4.2 Port infrastructure and related services	327
14.5 Conclusion	329
14.6 References.....	331
Annex A14.1 Recent policy changes in maritime transport	333
15 Electricity in Korea.....	334
15.1 Introduction.....	334
15.2 Restructuring and reaction	335
15.2.1 Basic nature of the Korean model.....	336
15.2.2 Reform put on hold	337
15.2.3 Retail tariffs and cross-subsidisation	338
15.2.4 Emergence of district suppliers.....	339
15.3 Present status.....	340
15.4 Statistical features of Korea's electricity industry	340

15.4.1 Price trends.....	340
15.4.2 Trend of total power losses	341
15.4.3 Efficiency gains from restructuring?.....	341
15.5 Politics of reform	342
15.6 Conclusion	343
15.7 References.....	344
16 Electricity in Russia	345
16.1 Introduction.....	345
16.2 Electricity system in Russia	345
16.3 Policy reform	347
16.3.1 Ownership.....	349
16.3.1.1 Generation.....	349
16.3.1.2 Transmission.....	350
16.3.1.3 Distribution	350
16.3.2 Regulation.....	351
16.3.2.1 Independent power producers	351
16.3.2.2 Third party access	353
16.3.2.3 Wholesale electricity (capacity) market.....	353
16.3.2.4 Trade and interconnections	356
16.3.2.5 Industrial users choice.....	357
16.3.2.6 Residential choice	357
16.3.2.7 Consumer tariffs.....	357
16.3.2.8 Regulators	358
16.3.2.9 Universal service obligations and cross-subsidisation.....	360
16.3.3 Review of the implementation of reform.....	362
16.4 Conclusion	365
16.5 References.....	367
17 Gas in China	370
17.1 Introduction.....	370
17.2 Restrictions on entry	372
17.2.1 Production	372
17.2.2 Transmission	374
17.2.3 Distribution	375
17.3 Imports of gas	376
17.4 Price regulation	377
17.5 Universal service.....	378
17.6 Recent changes in policy	378
17.7 Conclusion	381
17.8 References.....	383
18 Gas in Thailand	385
18.1 Introduction.....	385
18.2 The current structure of the gas industry in Thailand	386
18.2.1 Domestic competition	386
18.2.2 Foreign commercial presence	387
18.2.3 Cross-border competition.....	387
18.3 Government policy.....	389
18.4 The regulatory regime	391
18.4.1 The institution	391
18.4.2 The regulatory rules	392
18.5 The performance of the Thai gas industry and PTT	393
18.5.1 The Thai gas industry.....	393
18.5.2 Gas price	394
18.5.3 Gas quality	395

18.6 Conclusion	395
18.7 References.....	397
19 Telecommunications in Chinese Taipei.....	398
19.1 Introduction.....	398
19.2 Licensing regime and market structure	399
19.2.1 Licensing regime.....	399
19.2.2 Market structure	402
19.3 Pro-competition regulations.....	403
19.3.1 Interconnection regime	403
19.3.2 Access to essential facilities.....	405
19.3.3 Universal service obligations	406
19.4 Assessment of performance	407
19.4.1 Accessibility and price	407
19.4.2 Quantitative assessment.....	410
19.5 Future challenges	410
19.5.1 Market dominance	410
19.5.2 Convergence	411
19.5.3 Competitive safeguards.....	411
19.5.4 Interconnection	412
19.5.5 Access to essential facilities.....	412
19.5.6 Universal service obligations	412
19.5.7 Allocation and use of spectrum and scarce resources	413
19.6 References.....	414
20 Telecommunications in Viet Nam.....	415
20.1 Introduction.....	415
20.2 Regulation and market structure	415
20.2.1 Private and foreign entry conditions	415
21.2.2 The Law on telecommunications	418
20.2.3 Licensing regime.....	418
20.2.4 Market structure	419
20.3 Pro-competition regulations.....	421
20.3.1 Overview and regulation of operators with significant market power.....	421
20.3.2 Interconnection regime	422
20.3.3 Universal service obligations	423
20.3.4 Allocation of spectrum.....	424
20.4 Assessment of performance	425
20.5 Conclusion	427
20.5.1 Continuing structural reform through market liberalisation	428
20.5.2 State dominance and the creation of an independent regulator.....	429
20.5.3 Reducing development disparity.....	429
20.6 References.....	432
21 Telecommunications in Papua New Guinea	433
21.1 Introduction.....	433
21.2 Historical background	433
21.2.1 Telikom PNG Ltd	434
21.2.2 PANGTEL	435
21.2.3 Independent Competition and Consumer Commission.....	435
21.2.4 Pacific Mobile Communications Ltd	436
21.2.5 Independent Public Business Corporation	436
21.1.6 Universal service obligations	436
21.3 Development of ICT policy	436
21.3.1 Policy uncertainty	437

21.3.2 Multilateral policy restraints	439
21.3.3 Domestic restraints.....	440
21.4 The benefits from opening the mobile phone market	440
21.5 Locking-in policy through WTO commitments.....	442
21.6 Conclusion	442
21.7 References.....	444
22 Logistics in Indonesia	446
22.1 Introduction.....	446
22.2 The importance of efficient logistics to Indonesia.....	447
22.3 Benchmarking logistics' current performance.....	450
22.4 National Logistics Blueprint	452
22.5 First steps	455
22.5.1 One-stop shop to facilitate investment.....	455
22.5.2 New funds for infrastructure	455
22.5.3 Changes to the negative list for investment	457
22.5.4 Six new economic development corridors	457
22.5.5 National Single Window implementation.....	458
22.5.6 Round-the-clock port services	459
22.6 Conclusion	459
22.7 References.....	461

LIST OF FIGURES

1 The impacts and benefits of structural reforms in the transport, energy and telecommunications sectors in APEC economies	1
1.1 Summary of APEC economies' implementation of the WTO regulatory principles.....	21
2 Modelling the benefits of structural reforms in APEC economies	31
2.1 Productivity improvements from structural reforms in air transport	47
2.2 Productivity improvements from structural reforms in maritime transport	47
2.3 Productivity improvements from structural reforms in rail transport	48
2.4 Productivity improvements from structural reforms in electricity.....	49
2.5 Productivity improvements from structural reforms in gas	50
2.6 Productivity improvements from structural reforms in telecommunications.....	51
2.7 Productivity improvements from structural reforms in transport, energy and telecommunications...52	52
2.8 Simple average tariffs on agriculture and food	52
2.9 Simple average tariffs on manufacturing	53
2.10 Welfare gains from structural reforms, relative to initial economic size	56
2.11 Gains in real GDP	56
2.12 Contribution to welfare from own and others' structural reforms	57
2.13 Contribution to welfare from structural reforms in each sector.....	58
2.14 Deviation from baseline in sectoral output from own structural reforms	59
2.15 Deviation from baseline in sectoral output from all structural reforms	60
2.16 Deviation from baseline in unskilled employment from all structural reforms	61
2.17 Deviation from baseline in real wages of unskilled labour arising from all structural reforms....63	63
3 Quantifying the impacts of structural reforms on international transport margins	69
3.1 Air share of imports by value for Australia; Brazil; Chile; and the USA, 1990–2008	73
A1 Imports to the USA	82
A2 Imports to Australia	82
A3 Imports to Chile	82
A4 Imports to Brazil	83
A5 Imports to the USA; Australia; Chile; and Brazil	83
A6a Average adjusted <i>ad valorem</i> transport costs, selected APEC members	84
A6b Average adjusted <i>ad valorem</i> transport costs, selected APEC members	84
A6c Average adjusted <i>ad valorem</i> transport costs, selected APEC members	84
4 Quantifying the impacts of structural reforms on air traffic flows in APEC economies	88
4.1 The aviation regulation and liberalisation restrictiveness indices for APEC economies.....	96
4.2 The alternative policy indices	98
5 Quantifying the benefits from structural reforms in railway transport markets in APEC economies	103
5.1 Productivity change	109
5.2 Efficiency change.....	111
5.3 Technical change	111
5.4 Productivity change for APEC economies.....	114
5.5 Efficiency change for APEC economies.....	114
5.6 Technical change for APEC economies.....	115
A5.1 The input-based Malmquist productivity index	119
7 Quantifying the impacts of structural reforms in telecommunications markets in APEC economies	179
7.1 Teledensity, broadband penetration and GDP <i>per capita</i> , 2008	182

7.2 APEC economies fixed-line penetration rate, 2008	183
7.3 Comparison of fixed-line penetration rate between 2002 and 2008	184
7.4 Mobile penetration rate and GDP <i>per capita</i> , 2008	185
7.5 Comparison of change in APEC economies' mobile penetration rate.....	185
7.6 Internet user penetration rate and GDP <i>per capita</i> , 2008.....	187
7.7 Broadband subscribers as a percentage of total Internet subscribers, 2008.....	188
7.8 Comparison of change in APEC economies' broadband penetration	188
7.9 Fixed network market structures in APEC regions, 2003–09.....	189
10 Rail transport in New Zealand	230
10.1 Milestones in the development of railways in New Zealand, 1982–2008	232
10.2 Rail operating profit/loss as a percentage of revenue, 1875–1982	233
10.3 The decline in passenger rail travel.....	234
10.4 Rail freight in New Zealand from 1880	235
10.5 Tranz Rail's profit could not sustain its operations	236
10.6 A comparison of rail freight density internationally	238
10.7 Growth in Auckland passenger rail.....	244
11 Rail transport in Chile.....	254
11.1 Main railroads in Chile	256
12 Road transport in Thailand	267
12.1 The modal share in freight transport in 2008.....	276
12.2 Freight transport split by mode, 2006	276
12.3 Time and cost improvement on the Bangkok–Danang route	282
12.4 The problem of possible cross-border truck flow at Mukdahan–Savannakhet	285
13 Maritime transport in Australia	290
13.1 Total coastal trade and permit tonnage issued by the government	294
13.2 Share of coastal trade transported under permits	294
13.3 Real interstate non-bulk freight rates and world oil price.....	295
13.4 Crane rate at selected ports, 2005–07	298
13.5 TEU throughput per berth metre, 2006–07	298
13.6 Yard utilisation measured as TEU throughput per gross hectare, 2006–07	299
13.7 TEUs handled in overseas ports, 2006–07	300
13.8 Simple correlations – TEUs handled and port performances, 2006–07.....	301
13.9 Port performance indicators, average of the five main ports, in TEUs per hour.....	307
14 Maritime transport in the United States.....	313
14.1 Size of the US-flag merchant fleet, ocean-going ships of >1000 gross tons	319
14.2 Volume of foreign and domestic shipping.....	322
14.3 US domestic transport share by modes	322
14.4 The number of shipyards in the US	323
14.5 Employment in the shipbuilding and repairing sector	323
14.6 Capacity and demand by 2020 by major ports.....	324
14.7 Port productivity in international ports, 2009	325
14.8 Port productivity in international ports, 2009	325
15 Electricity in Korea.....	334
15.1 Trend of average power prices in KRW/kWh, 1994–2008.....	340
15.2 Trends of power losses, 1993–2008.....	341
16 Electricity in Russia	345
16.1 Distribution of installed capacity by commissioning year, 2007	348
16.2 Electricity consumption by final use, 2007.....	348
16.3 Technical reliability of RAO-UES operations, 2004–09	356

17 Gas in China	370
17.1 China's natural gas production and consumption, 1990–2008	370
17.2 Energy consumption in China, by type, 2006	371
17.3 The price hooking mechanism	380
18 Gas in Thailand	385
18.1 Thailand's natural gas supply, 1986–2009.....	385
18.2 The current structure of the Thai gas industry	387
18.3 Thailand's regional natural gas pipeline network and its neighbours.....	388
18.4 Future gas industry structure as perceived in 1998.....	390
18.5 The length of natural gas pipeline, 1981–2012	394
18.6 PTT's EBIT/net sales ratio, 2002–2009.....	395
19 Telecommunications in Chinese Taipei.....	398
19.1 Market share in Chinese Taipei fixed-line market, 2009	402
19.2 Market share in Chinese Taipei mobile market, 2009	402
19.3a Fixed-line penetration rates: Chinese Taipei; USA; Australia; and Japan, 1991–2008	408
19.3b Broadband penetration rates: Chinese Taipei; USA; Australia; and Japan, 1997–2008.....	408
19.3c Mobile penetration rates: Chinese Taipei; USA; Australia; and Japan, 1997–2008	408
19.4 Percentage of change in broadband penetration: USA; Canada; Singapore; Japan; and Chinese Taipei, 2004–08	408
19.5a Mobile service monthly subscription charge.....	409
19.5b Mobile service connection charge.....	409
20 Telecommunications in Viet Nam.....	415
20.1 Market share of Viet Nam's fixed-line market, 2009	420
20.2 Market share of Viet Nam's mobile market, 2009.....	421
20.3 Changes in fixed-line and mobile penetration rates in Viet Nam	426
20.4 Comparison of the fixed-line, Internet and mobile penetration rates of Viet Nam; the Philippines; and Indonesia.....	426
20.5 Comparison of selected retail tariffs between Viet Nam; the Philippines; and Indonesia	428
22 Logistics in Indonesia	446
22.1 The economic distance within Indonesia based on deregulated air ticket costs vs regulated sea transport costs.....	449
22.2 Global ranking and scores for APEC economies	451
22.3 Indonesia's ranking in the LPI 2010 compared with APEC, ASEAN 6 and lower middle income economies	451
22.4 Framework of the National Logistics Blueprint network	453
22.5 Institutional framework for implementing the National Logistics Blueprint.....	456
22.6 Six economic development corridors across Indonesia	458

LIST OF TABLES

1 The impacts and benefits of structural reforms in the transport, energy and telecommunications sectors in APEC economies	1
1.1 Structural reform in air transport	7
1.2 Main features of the APEC members' rail networks	10
1.3 Structural reform in maritime transport	15
1.4 Summary of current regulation in APEC electricity markets, 2009	17
1.5 Summary of current regulation in APEC gas markets, 2009	19
2 Modelling the benefits of structural reforms in APEC economies	31
2.1 Index of policy restrictions in air transport	34
2.2 Index of policy openness in maritime transport.....	37
2.3 Index of policy openness in rail transport.....	38
2.4 Index of policy openness in electricity	40
2.5 Index of policy openness in gas	42
2.6 Index of policy openness in fixed-line telecommunications.....	44
2.7 Index of policy openness in mobile telecommunications	44
2.8 FTPA model sectors.....	54
3 Quantifying the impacts of structural reforms on international transport margins	69
3.1 Measures of restrictiveness and competition for APEC members, 2008	72
3.2 <i>Global Competitiveness Report</i> on infrastructure rankings	72
3.3 Corruption perceptions index, APEC rankings, 2008	73
3.4 Expected sign of determinants of transport costs.....	75
3.5 Transport cost regressions for ocean shipped goods.....	76
3.6 Transport cost regressions for air shipped goods.....	77
A1 Import weighted <i>ad valorem</i> transport costs, APEC members' exports to the USA; Australia; Brazil; and Chile	85
A2 Import weighted <i>ad valorem</i> transport costs by sea, APEC members' exports by sea	85
A3 Import weighted <i>ad valorem</i> transport costs by air, APEC members' exports by air.....	86
A4 Average <i>ad valorem</i> transport costs adjusted for commodity composition	86
A5 Weighted and adjusted <i>ad valorem</i> freight to the USA; Australia; Brazil; and Chile	87
4 Quantifying the impacts of structural reforms on air traffic flows in APEC economies	88
4.1 Open Skies agreements signed by APEC economies	91
4.2 Policy indicators.....	94
4.3 Scores of the policy index components.....	95
4.4 The alternative policy index indicators.....	97
4.5 Scores of the alternative policy index components.....	98
4.6 Impact of the policy index	100
4.7 Impact of the alternative policy index.....	101
5 Quantifying the benefits from structural reforms in railway transport markets in APEC economies	103
5.1 The main features of APEC members' rail networks	107
5.2 Productivity change	110
5.3 Efficiency change.....	112
5.4 Technical change	113
A5.1 Average values for the variables	123

6 Quantifying the benefits from structural reforms in electricity and gas markets in APEC economies	124
6.1 Summary of current regulation in APEC electricity and gas markets, 2009	128
6.2 Electricity regulation in APEC economies, 2009	145
6.3 Gas regulation in APEC economies, 2009	155
6.4 Economic and technological data for electricity, OECD economies, 1990–2008	164
6.5 Economic and technological data for gas, OECD economies, 1990–2008.....	164
6.6 Policy data for electricity and gas, OECD economies, 1990–2008	165
6.7 Simple correlations between policy and performance in electricity	174
6.8 Simple correlations between policy and performance in gas.....	174
6.9 Results of random effects panel regression for electricity prices.....	175
6.10 Results of random effects panel regression for electricity efficiency	176
6.11 Results of random effects panel regression for gas prices	177
6.12 Results of random effects panel regression for gas efficiency.....	178
7 Quantifying the impacts of structural reforms in telecommunications markets in APEC economies.....	179
7.1 Key telecommunications indicators of APEC member economies	181
7.2 Growth rate for APEC economies' mobile sector.....	186
7.3 Market access conditions of fixed-line networks in APEC economies, 2009	190
7.4 Restrictions on fixed line network foreign investment in APEC economies, 2009	191
7.5 Market access conditions of mobile networks in APEC economies, 2009	192
7.6 Restrictions on mobile network foreign ownership in Mexico and the USA	192
7.7 Summary of APEC economies' implementation of the WTO regulatory principles.....	196
7.8 Results of fixed-line penetration models	199
7.9 Results of mobile penetration models.....	199
7.10 Results of broadband penetration models	199
7.11 Teledensity and the impact of telecommunications investment – developed economies	201
7.12 Teledensity and the impact of telecommunications investment in mobile	201
Annex A7.1 Policy index	207
Annex A7.2 Policy index values.....	208
8 Quantifying the impacts of regulatory reform in infrastructure on economic performance in APEC economies	209
8.1 Results for the pooled OLS and fixed effects regression with FDI in infrastructure projects as the dependent variable.....	213
8.2 Results for the pooled OLS and fixed effects regression with economy-level FDI inflows as the dependent variable	214
8.3 Relating private involvement in telecommunications infrastructure projects to independence of telecom regulators	216
8.4 Relating private involvement in energy infrastructure projects to independence of electricity regulators	217
9 Air transport in Korea and Northeast Asia	219
9.1 Status of Korean low cost carriers	220
9.2 Status of Open Skies in Korea, January 2010	221
9.3 Status of Korean Air Services Agreements (ASAs)	221
9.4 The EU action package for the integration of the air transport market.....	223
9.5 Aircraft movement after the Open Skies policy between Korea and China	224
9.6 Passenger performance after the Open Skies policy between Korea and China.....	224
9.7 Number of passengers on the Korea–Shandong route, 2005–07	225
9.8 Number of passengers on the Korea–China route, 2005–07.....	225
9.9 Aircraft Movements on the Korea–Shandong route, 2005–07	225
9.10 Airfare changes on the Korea–Shandong route	225
9.11 Fast-growing cargo airports in Asia, 2007	227

11 Rail transport in Chile	254
11.1 Main railroads in Chile	257
11.2 Traffic operations by EFE.....	257
11.3 FERRENOR'S operations after privatisation	262
11.4 FEPASA'S operations after concessioning.....	263
12 Road transport in Thailand	267
12.1 The population of Bangkok, Bangkok Metropolitan Area and Chiang Mai, 2003–08	268
12.2 Forecast population growth in Bangkok and nearby provinces	268
12.3 The number of in-use vehicles in Bangkok, 1994–2010.....	269
12.4 The operation of passenger bus transport service by government and private sectors	271
12.5 Characteristics of the passenger transport industry.....	272
12.6 Characteristics of the largest passenger transport firms in terms of revenue, 2007.....	273
12.7 Public bus pricing	273
12.8: Advantages of vans over buses by category	274
12.9 Characteristics of urban public transport modes in the Bangkok Metropolitan Region, 2008 ...	275
12.10 The number of passenger vans in the Bangkok Metropolitan Region	275
12.11 Characteristics of the six largest freight transport firms in terms of revenue, 2007	277
12.12 The number of international transport operating licences issued per year, 2000–07.....	279
12.13 The number of international transport operating licences for 2007	280
12.14 Implementing and planning agencies.....	286
13 Maritime transport in Australia.....	290
13.1 Regulatory framework in maritime transport in APEC countries.....	291
13.2 Summary of the Australian registered trading fleet, 1999 and 2007	296
13.3 Active shipping agreements by type, February 2010.....	297
13.4 Market structure and capacities at the main Australian ports, early 2010	302
13.5 Waiting time for vessels at major coal terminals, May 2009.....	303
13.6 Waiting time for vessels at major iron ore terminals, May 2009.....	304
13.7 New infrastructure projects – coal	304
13.8 ARTC coal infrastructure expansion projects in New South Wales	305
A13.1 Port regulatory regimes in various Australian states.....	311
A13.2 Recent policy changes in maritime transport	312
14 Maritime transport in the United States.....	313
14.1 US cargo reservation schemes	314
14.2 Support programs to the US maritime transport industry	315
14.3 Importance of various cargo preference schemes, since fiscal year 2005	317
14.4 Daily operating expenses for US-flag vs foreign-flag vessels, in 2005 (USD)	318
14.5 Results of various assessments	318
14.6 Importance of each subsidy scheme.....	320
14.7 Results of various Jones Act impact assessments	321
14.8 Major US commercial shipbuilding programs and representative new building prices	321
14.9 Evolution of container throughput in the first 10 US ports.....	324
14.10 Landside annual traffic delay per container in surrounding urban areas, 2005	326
14.11 US employment in water transport and related industries, 2003–08	327
14.12 US public port capital expenditures by region, historical and projected	328
14.13 Container handling operators in the three main US ports	328
A14.1: Recent policy changes in maritime transport	333
15 Electricity in Korea.....	334
15.1 Trends in average prices per kWh.....	339
15.2 Trend of heat efficiency of generators, 1998–2004	341
15.3 Maintenance of frequency and voltage	341

16 Electricity in Russia	345
16.1 Market concentration	348
16.2 Selected comparisons of international electricity prices, 2007	352
16.3 Cost components of old and new generation plants, 2005	352
16.4 Electricity tariff for 2006, and projected for 2007–20	363
16.5 Recent changes in policy	364
17 Gas in China	370
17.1 The equity distribution in three state-owned enterprises	372
17.2 The characteristics of all facilities-based operating companies providing gas services	373
17.3 China's imports of LNG	376
17.4 The planned international gas pipeline	377
17.5 China's operating and under construction LNG projects.....	377
17.6 Major changes in market access policies, ownership rules and regulations since 2004	379
17.7 Urban gas prices, August 2008	381
18 Gas in Thailand	385
18.1 Gas supply and demand growth, 1986–2009	394
19 Telecommunications in Chinese Taipei.....	398
19.1 Sequence of telecommunications reform in Chinese Taipei, pre-1996–2008	399
19.2 Comparison of the market opening timetable and restrictions.....	400
19.3 Telecommunications service classification systems in Chinese Taipei	401
20 Telecommunications in Viet Nam.....	415
20.1 Examples of Business Corporation Contracts in Viet Nam	416
20.2 Sequence of telecommunications reform in Viet Nam	419
20.3 History of market opening in Viet Nam.....	420
20.4 SMP telecommunications enterprises, 2008	422
21 Telecommunications in Papua New Guinea	433
21.1 Average call rates for domestic and international phone calls, 2008	441
22 Logistics in Indonesia	446
22.1 Strategic environment and challenges of Indonesia.....	447
22.2 Structural reform agenda.....	449
22.3 Quality of Indonesia's transport-related infrastructure	452
22.4 Implementation schedule of the National Logistics Blueprint, 2009–25.....	454
22.5 Summary of the matrix strategy and action plan for the National Logistics Blueprint.....	454

LIST OF BOXES

1 The impacts and benefits of structural reforms in the transport, energy and telecommunications sectors in APEC economies	1
1.1 Case studies.....	2
1.2 The six item structural reform agenda	5
1.3 Air transport market integration in Northeast Asia.....	8
1.4 Low cost carriers in Korea.....	8
1.5 Effects of rail privatisation in New Zealand	10
1.6 City rail in Auckland, New Zealand	10
1.7 Rail freight in southern Chile.....	11
1.8 Passenger vans in Bangkok.....	12
1.9 Road freight to Laos PDR.....	13
1.10 Cabotage in Australia.....	14
1.11 Cabotage in the USA	14
1.12 Electricity in Korea	16
1.13 Electricity in Russia	17
1.14 Gas in China.....	18
1.15 Gas in Thailand	19
1.16 Telecommunications in Chinese Taipei	22
1.17 Telecommunications in Viet Nam	22
2 Modelling the benefits of structural reforms in APEC economies	31
2.1 The FTAP model – GTAP with foreign direct investment	54
4 Quantifying the impacts of structural reforms on air traffic flows in APEC economies	
4.1 Freedom of the air	90
7 Quantifying the impacts of structural reforms in telecommunications markets in APEC economies	179
7.1 Regulatory principles included in the WTO Reference Paper	195
10 Rail transport in New Zealand	230
10.1 Constrained by geography and population.....	237
10.2 Competition for Auckland Commuter Rail Services	243
12 Road transport in Thailand	267
12.1 Bilateral agreements on road freight transport services	279
12.2 Benefits from the GMS Economic Corridors development	283
12.3 Impacts from the GMS East–West Economic Corridor, Mukdahan checkpoint	284
13 Maritime transport in Australia.....	290
13.1 A typology of agreements in liner shipping.....	292
16 Electricity in Russia	345
16.1 RAB formula for distribution of tariffs.....	359
16.2 Barriers to market entry by new distributing companies	361
22 Logistics in Indonesia	446
22.1: National Logistics Blueprint in summary	453

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The papers for this project were produced by a large team of authors coordinated by Christopher Findlay. While he is responsible for the content of the overview and the summary report, it should be recorded that those sections draw on the contributions of all the authors.

Particular thanks are due to Philippa Dee from the Australian National University for her support in the design and implementation of the project, and we are also indebted to the authors for their energy, advice, patience and persistence.

Nathan Zhivov from the APEC Policy Support Unit managed the project under the guidance of the Unit's Director, Philip Gaetjens, who conceived the project. Once the work was under way, the Unit provided analytical support and critical commentary on its content. Unit members offered guidance, based on their own experience, on the translation and communication of the basic research results into lessons for policymakers, and they also managed communications with the APEC member economies.

A workshop was held in Singapore in the course of the work, with support from the Secretariat of the Pacific Economic Cooperation Council working with Valerie Clarke from the University of Adelaide. The papers were edited and formatted by Bernard O'Neil of O'Neil Historical & Editorial Services, Adelaide with assistance from Beverley Burke. Simon Firth of Adelaide Research and Innovation at the University of Adelaide worked with the APEC Policy Support Unit on the contracts for the project.

Professor Christopher Findlay

School of Economics
The University of Adelaide
Adelaide, South Australia
November 2010

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Executive Summary condenses more than 460 pages of detailed analysis and economic modelling into a digestible brief. We consider the material available in this summary and the main report can be used to develop easily communicated messages for APEC members to promote further structural reform. We also hope the Executive Summary will entice officials to read the more detailed analyses, as they contain useful examples of structural reforms and lessons on how to implement them effectively.

The study as a whole seeks to catalogue many of the substantial, tangible benefits for consumers and for small and medium businesses arising from APEC members' structural reform efforts in recent years, focusing on the transport, energy and telecommunications sectors. As well, economic modelling was undertaken to provide empirical estimates of reform impacts in those sectors.

INFRASTRUCTURE AND STRUCTURAL REFORM

Structural reform in APEC economies refers to policy change related to 'institutional frameworks, regulations and government policy [designed] so that barriers to market-based incentives, competition, regional economic integration and improved economic performance are minimized'.

Infrastructure is a significant and quantitatively important determinant of growth and development. Economies with fully open telecommunications and financial services sectors, for example, grow up to 1.5 percentage points faster than other economies. Improving destination infrastructure by a factor of 16% reduces transport costs by an amount equivalent to a reduction of 6500 sea km or 1000km of overland travel. Better infrastructure also contributes to better health outcomes, including key indicators in the Millennium Development Goals.

While competition in domestic markets and openness to foreign investors might usually be expected to lead to better quality services, the link is not straightforward in infrastructure industries where the nature of an asset that is essential in service delivery can cause market and competition failures.

Where this occurs, the asset or infrastructure industries have natural monopoly characteristics. Their owners can seek to charge higher prices in the absence of competition or they may not allow others to use the infrastructure (e.g., a gas pipeline or a telephone cable) duct, at reasonable cost. Some infrastructure activities involve externalities (i.e., side effects which are not priced) so that market price signals may not convey the accurate information about the real cost and value of the activity to the economy as a whole. Noise and air pollution are examples. Even where market competition can deliver efficient outcomes, governments may distort efficiency by seeking to implement equity objectives.

The best way to achieve good outcomes is not only to design better policy, but also to match the most effective and least distorting policy instruments to the objectives being sought. Good microeconomic policy also requires policy coherence. The APEC Leaders' Agenda to Implement Structural Reform is directed at exactly these issues.

PROGRESS TO DATE

Air transport

In air transport the initial instances of competition often occur in domestic markets through the introduction of low cost carriers (LCCs). For example, in Korea fares fell by 20–30% as a result of the entry of LCCs in 2006. The LCC share of the domestic market in Korea is now 25% and close to 30% on some routes.

Reform of international markets, which involve sovereign treaties as well as operating airlines, moves more slowly than that in domestic markets, but there is a shift towards liberalisation. For example, in 2006 Korea and China entered a more liberalised agreement for routes between Korea and China's Shandong province. As a result, fares fell by an average of more than 8% on these routes and traffic grew much faster (by a factor of 2) compared to other routes between the two economies.

Other studies rank economies according to their degree of liberalisation in international markets. An economy moving from the bottom quarter of the ranking to the top quarter would see substantial benefits. Such a move would see traffic volumes between economies linked by direct air services increase by about 30%. Signing Open Skies agreements has lowered air cargo freight rates by 8%.

Regulations remaining in APEC economies, particularly in international markets, maintain barriers to the entry of new airlines. Econometric analysis undertaken for this project finds that conversion to full openness in air transport would lead to an average reduction in margins for all APEC economies of 15%. Exporters able to reap such benefits from more competitive world aviation markets would be able to capture these reduced margins and pass them on to consumers.

Rail transport

In rail transport the separation of track (below-the-rail) and train (above-the-rail) operations and the introduction of competition between train operators provide significant benefits. Free entry of new operators and the resulting dynamics of competition are critical for better performance. One study found that free entry adds over three times as much to productivity as separation.

The separation of track ownership and operations is increasingly common in APEC economies, along with the specification of regimes that provide access for new competitors. Financing challenges in relation to track investment, however, remain. Between 2001 and 2008 the annual average rate of productivity growth in the rail sector for APEC members was 3.5%. There is still room for improvement, since for non-APEC members, productivity grew by 4.8% a year over the same period.

Tenders to operate the Auckland urban rail system were called in 2002. The track was owned and managed by a government enterprise. Traffic doubled between 2005 and 2010 under this

competitive model. Services more than doubled between 2005 and 2009. Reliability also improved. In March 2005 only 77% of trains arrived on time. This figure exceeded 85% for most of 2009. Over 5 years 21 of the 41 stations on the network were upgraded. There were more services, higher frequencies, greater punctuality and better trains. Under this model, the government continued to invest in the track. It also subsidised fares on the grounds of rail's contribution to the reduction in road congestion. The subsidy was transparent. Modelling suggests that this subsidy per passenger could be halved if further investment, including electrification, adds to service quality and attracts more passengers.

The process of privatisation in the New Zealand rail system in 1993 also had a significant effect on volumes and user satisfaction. Between 1994 and 1997 prices fell 7% per annum in the bulk goods sector and by more than 4% per annum for export goods. Significant improvements were found in customer satisfaction surveys, with recommendation rates improving from just over 30% to nearly 80%. Issues remained however in relation to investment in the rail track.

In Chile fares were 40% lower after the government-owned rail corporation divested its southern operations. The track remained in the hands of the state organisation which provided maintenance and facilities.

Road transport

Regulation of passenger and freight transport by road must juggle conflicting demands of avoiding congestion, bringing home to users the costs of road use and damage created, funding investment in the network, meeting safety targets and providing access to services. The package of regulations that is created, however, may induce a market response that in turn illustrates the opportunities available from better policy.

In Bangkok an opportunity was created for new entrants offering a differentiated passenger transport service at unregulated prices. When they began in the mid 1980s, these new services, or 'vans', were illegal, but later many were licensed. The vans were smaller than buses and charged higher prices but offered shorter and faster routes with guaranteed seats. Although passengers were required to go to terminals rather than usual bus stops, by 2008 there were more than 6500 vans operating in Bangkok. They provided consumers with more variety and wider access to services.

Freight rates fell by 20–30% when quotas on cross-border freight licences were removed between Thailand and Laos in 2004.

For international road freight, as for trade more generally as tariffs are lowered, greater importance now attaches to infrastructure and other regulatory constraints, such as arrangements for customs clearance.

Maritime transport

Shipping services markets are now regarded as largely competitive, but residual regulation of maritime services remains in some economies. The emerging issue is access to port services – in particular, access to ancillary services required to berth, load and unload.

Another common restriction in maritime services is that on cabotage rights. The Australian approach has been not to remove the regulation. Rather, the manner of its implementation has

been changed and greater flexibility is obtained through a permit system. Technological improvements and rationalisation of staffing scales resulted in a downward trend in real interstate non-bulk freight rates from the early 1980s. Regulatory changes sustained this trend. Rates were 40% lower in 2005 compared to the start of the 1990s. The Australian coastal fleet capacity was 60% lower in 2007 compared to 1999 but productivity more than doubled as a result of a rise in capacity utilisation.

New econometric work undertaken in this study finds that a movement from the current policy regime to full liberalisation for all APEC economies would on average reduce maritime freight rates by about 20%. This saves real resources and provides benefits to shippers and their customers.

Electricity

Regulatory reform in OECD economies has contributed to lower industrial electricity prices. Competitive wholesale markets and retail competition also reduced prices significantly in the United States of America: retail competition reduced prices by 5–10% for residential customers and by 5% for industrial customers.

Given the complexities involved, structural reforms that have taken place since 2004 in the energy sector in APEC economies have mostly been incremental – there have been few ‘big bang’ initiatives.

Russia is an exception. The extent of reform of the Russian electricity sector is remarkable. There has been a complete transformation of the system to separation and a wholesale market. The Californian experience of reform, where blackouts followed measures to increase competition, has not been a deterrent in Russia, where the reforms have been designed with lessons learned from earlier international experience.

The first stage of electricity reforms in Korea included the separation of generators from the distribution company. Utilisation of capacity increased: planned outages of 25 days across 109 units of generators in 2000 dropped after restructuring to about 19 days across 117 units in 2003. Productivity also increased through a substantial rise in the capacity utilisation rate of coal-fired plants – from 75% in 1999 to 89% in 2003.

Econometric analysis in this report shows that further structural reforms in APEC electricity markets would reduce prices and increase efficiency. In electricity markets:

- the introduction of competition through a third party access regime would be associated with electricity prices being almost 5% lower than otherwise, on an indicative basis and holding all other factors constant;
- the introduction of a wholesale electricity market would be associated with electricity prices being about 7% lower; and
- unbundling of generation from transmission would be associated with a fall in electricity prices by more than 11%.

This study estimates that the combined effect of all three of these initiatives would be electricity prices that are 23% lower than otherwise.

Gas

In general, reforms in natural gas have been less extensive than in electricity. In part, this is because the scope for competition in natural gas production depends on the range of sources of supply. A remarkable development in China began in 2005 with reform to the system for pricing gas. Gas prices had been based on a cost-plus formula, but from 2005 they were ‘hooked’ to the prices of other sources of energy. This began to correct the problem of pricing gas too low, which in 2009 had led to gas shortages.

Econometric analysis in this report identifies the effects that further structural reforms in APEC gas markets would have on prices and efficiency. The introduction of retail competition would be associated with gas prices being about 15% lower than otherwise, all other things being constant. The unbundling of gas production/import from distribution would lower gas prices by more than 23%.

Telecommunications

Telecommunications reform, which embraces information and communications technology as well as traditional telephony, leads to productivity improvements. A contributor is the greater use of the Internet for business transactions. Productivity improvements reduce costs in supply chains and enable goods to move to market more quickly and more cheaply.

As of 2009 the majority of APEC economies have adopted full market entry liberalisation. However, a common practice is to limit foreign investment from gaining dominant positions in fixed-line operators. This is a major issue in current telecommunications regulatory settings. As of 2009 all APEC economies have liberalised their mobile telecommunications sectors. In most economies new licences are granted based on market-oriented approaches unless limited by the availability of spectrum. APEC members have undertaken – as required by their respective General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS) treaty commitments – to allocate spectrum in an objective, timely, transparent and non-discriminatory manner.

A liberalisation program began in Chinese Taipei in 1997, first in mobile then in fixed-line services. The subsequent change in performance has been remarkable in comparison with its APEC peers. Fixed-line penetration in Chinese Taipei exceeded that of Australia and Japan in 1998 and of the USA in 2003: it peaked at 65% in 2005. It has since been falling, as in many economies. Mobile penetration in Chinese Taipei exceeds 100%. Broadband penetration is at the same level as these comparator economies.

Fixed-line development in Viet Nam is outstanding when compared with other APEC economies with similar levels of economic/telecommunications development. Prior to 2003 Viet Nam had a similar level of fixed-line penetration as Indonesia and the Philippines of around 5%. Yet starting from 2003, access jumped. In fixed-line availability, Viet Nam is now at 35% and mobile penetration is at 80%. Monthly subscription charges for mobile services had fallen to zero by 2004, compared to \$US17 in 1999. Structural reform efforts contributed to this outcome, including the establishment of the universal service fund.

The introduction of competition into the mobile sector in PNG has led to universal coverage, following a rise of 700% in the number of mobile subscribers since mid 2007. Charges have fallen by 11% in the peak times for domestic calls and 51% in off-peak periods. In an economy like PNG with such a difficult terrain, the benefits cannot be underestimated. Social interaction, such as the rate of response to medical emergencies, is better, mobile banking initiatives are underway and market pricing information is more readily available.

THE NEXT STEPS AND THEIR EFFECTS

What are ‘the next steps’ to achieve greater benefits still? A common theme for all sectors is the introduction of more competition:

- air transport – through a range of reforms to air services agreements, to entry conditions for domestic and foreign carriers, and ownership;
- maritime transport – by the dismantling of remaining entry restrictions, quotas or cargo sharing arrangements and the granting of domestic-vessel treatment to foreign-owned carriers located domestically;
- rail transport – through free entry in freight operations in those economies that do not have them;
- electricity and gas – by providing third party access, unbundling, wholesale prices set through market arrangements and/or retail competition in economies that have not implemented them; and
- telecommunications – through the removal of remaining foreign equity limits.

A package of reforms based on the measures outlined above would have a significant effect. Across the whole APEC region, USD175 billion a year in additional real income (in 2004 dollars) could be generated relative to what would have accrued had these reforms not occurred. This is a snapshot of the gains projected after a 10-year adjustment period.

The reforms can be translated into productivity effects, and the estimated first round impacts of these reforms suggest that they could lead to weighted average productivity improvements in the range of 2–14% across the transport, energy and telecommunications sectors. The largest productivity gains (above 10%) would occur in Indonesia; Malaysia; Mexico; the Philippines; Chinese Taipei; and Viet Nam.

There is no compelling reason for an APEC economy to wait for others to start. In all economies an overwhelming proportion of these gains come from reforms domestically, rather than reforms in other economies. Of course, the gains from joint reforms are also considerable.

APEC-wide, the projected gains from these structural reforms are almost twice as big as the gains from further liberalisation of merchandise trade. Yet the sectors where the structural reforms occur are less than a quarter of the size of those engaged in merchandise trade. When structural reforms lead to lower real production costs, even by half as much as is estimated here, they create a return to reform effort that is much greater than that from trade reforms.

These findings, therefore, vindicate APEC Leaders’ decision to move beyond a ‘border’ focused trade reform agenda to one that focuses on ‘behind the border’ issues. Yet along with generating significant gains, structural reforms often require significant structural adjustments. These must be managed carefully and sensitively and often take a considerable transition period when implementing policy measures.

The essence of a productivity improvement is that an industry can produce more with less. To ensure that efficiency gains are passed on to consumers, competition is required. Competition also allows dynamic gains to be achieved as new ways of doing things are found and best practice is transmitted more widely across market players.

Employment effects of greater efficiency are always a concern to policy makers. Modelling work for this study indicates that sectors which show relatively high reductions in

employment do so not as a result of their own productivity improvements but because the domestic industries that use their services lose their position as other economies reform. In the extreme cases, modelling indicates relative losses in unskilled employment in a particular sector after 10 years can accumulate to upwards of 30%. But this modelling result needs to be kept in perspective. Employment changes occur over time and can be addressed through targeted structural assistance measures. Secondly, as long as an economy grows overall employment will increase, so the modelling shows that structural reforms may require significant relative shifts of labour across sectors over time. Thirdly, the model projects the generation of higher real wages for all workers in all economies. Modelling and real world examples demonstrate that displaced workers earn higher real wages in their new occupations.

To reiterate, employment opportunities overall depend on the growth of an economy. Thus, one of the best ways that APEC economies can guard against any adverse employment effects of structural reform is to maintain healthy underlying rates of economic growth. Structural reform itself makes a contribution to this goal, since it adds to productivity, stimulates activity and increases the resilience of the economy, but prudent macroeconomic management is also crucial.

A STRONGER AGENDA FOR APEC

This research shows the value of the APEC Leaders' adoption of an agenda to implement structural reform. It also reveals the importance of structural reform as providing strong bridges behind the border to capture the full benefits of improving regional economic integration. This study has found that:

- structural reform is challenging because it takes time amid the economic and political complexities in all economies;
- structural reform can create winners and losers but yields more inclusive development when it is carried out dynamically, with transitional measures and with other economic reforms; and
- structural reform is worth undertaking and provides potentially greater gains than trade liberalisation and generates economic sustainability.

These results suggest the scope to build an even stronger APEC agenda and work program. Structural reform is a vital process to achieve growth and to provide greater flexibility and resilience with which to deal with and withstand shocks, both domestic and external. However, it requires changes in economic structures, innovation and the adoption of new technologies and market responses to shape effective regulation as well as transform APEC economies and their current regulatory systems.

Steady adaptation is required, not least because expectations will rise as development proceeds. Pressure from the rest of the world, both competition from other economies and new commitments for cooperation, creates further forces for change. APEC economies are at various stages of reform, and their experiences to date are valuable to other APEC members. The sharing of this experience remains a priority, not just to learn about what is possible but also about the strategies for implementation. This will enable economies to examine measures and strategies and then shape and adapt them to their own situation.

To be effective, structural reform must be adopted for a purpose and specific outcomes should be the goal. Otherwise it is impossible to specify a method and explain its rationale in an often complex and sensitive environment. Another requirement to assess the impact of

implemented policy measures is the design and implementation of reporting systems and monitoring arrangements for the progress of reform. The impacts of reform and their economy-wide effects are worthy of regular attention. Evidence of gaps between good practice, allowing for the varying stages of development, and the costs of those gaps are drivers of reform. But in the end what matters is the outcome.

A reform program focused on structural reform will create new sources of growth. This growth will be driven by productivity. Often these new sources of growth are unable to be identified or forecast because it is the dynamics of competition, the near limitless imagination of enterprise and the innovative use of changing technology that gives rise to new beginnings. Reform at the border remains significant for the efficiency and growth of member economies but the empirical work here demonstrates the significance of the productivity effects of even a modest set of ‘next steps’, all primarily focused on the introduction of competition.

Another consequence of reform will be economic resilience. More efficient market operations, macroeconomic stability and higher productivity all follow from structural reform and will contribute to higher standards of living. The concern with resilience and macroeconomic stability is even more relevant in the context of responding to the recent global financial crisis.

Programs of structural reform in each economy, designed and implemented to suit the situation in that economy but which take into account lessons learned from other members to achieve clearly defined outcomes, can deliver new growth and economic resilience. Support in the APEC region through cooperation to learn these lessons and perhaps sequence reforms may also give rise to even more dynamic gains in APEC economies.