Appendix VB

Energy efficiency Policy Workshop 2017 evaluation

# Background

In March 2017, APERC hosted the first Energy Efficiency Policy Workshop in Jeju Island, Korea. The workshop was hosted alongside the 49th meeting of the APEC Expert Group on Energy Efficiency and Conservation (EGEEC). This is the third, and final, component of the Peer Review on Energy Efficiency (PREE) that APERC has been coordinating for 6 years.

The topic of the workshop was an in depth look at Energy Efficiency Policy and Program Evaluation. The key outcome for EEP is to increase the understanding of the benefits of having a robust evaluation process as part of the policy development processes in energy efficiency. The workshop also aims to provide attendees with a technical understanding of an evaluation process to enable more effective design and commissioning of evaluation projects.

The workshop was attended by 31 participants from 15 APEC economies and feedback received was uniformly positive.

# Workshop evaluation

At the end of the workshop attendees were requested to provide feedback on the suitability, interest, length, and topic selection of the workshop among other things. Ten attendees filled the form (shown at the end of this document) and the results are as follows:

The first section asked attendees to score several attributes of the workshop in a scale from 1 to 5 where 1 is completely agree and 5 is completely disagree. Table 1 shows the results for the main attributes.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Attribute | Score |
| 1 | Relevance to me | 1.3 |
| 2 | Applicability to my work | 1.3 |
| 3 | Delivery of content | 1.6 |
| 4 | Pace of delivery | 1.8 |
| 5 | Instructor suitability | 1.3 |
| 6 | Materials provided | 1 |
| 7 | Interest in further workshops | 1.7 |

The very strong results are very encouraging for APERC and demonstrates a strong appetite and need for further work in this area.

The form also asked the audience about the length of the workshop, the materials, meeting space, overall program, and to suggest other topics. The majority of the attributes were judged to be very good, and good by the majority of attendees with some indicating excellent. The quality of the presenters, in particular, were praised during the day and received very high marks.

The Feature that attendees valued the most was the opportunity to workshop the theory with practical examples during small group discussion sessions during the day. They felt it was important to be able to voice their understanding in a small group, and discuss, as well as putting their knowledge to the test.

Attendees were also asked to provide areas for improvement. Comments for this question included:

* Send all the materials to participants ahead of time for preparation.
* Provide contrasting case studies of best vs worst practice.

Thinking of the future, the audience was asked to provide topic suggestions for future workshops. The suggested topics include:

* Transition to renewables
* Sustainable cities and urban natures
* Energy efficiency financing
* ICT based energy efficiency
* Refrigerant trends
* Energy efficiency monitoring frameworks
* Promotion of cogeneration
* Public policy development
* Modelling and statistics.

# Evaluation Form

**Workshop Evaluation Form**

Given the prototype version of this workshop it is important to obtain feedback in order to improve future workshops.

**Please return this form to the front desk at the end of the day. Thank you.**

**Strongly Strongly**

**agree disagree**

1. The content was relevant to me 1 2 3 4 5
2. The workshop was applicable to my work 1 2 3 4 5
3. The content was delivered effectively 1 2 3 4 5
4. The program was well paced 1 2 3 4 5
5. The instructor was a good communicator 1 2 3 4 5
6. The material was presented in an organized manner 1 2 3 4 5
7. The instructor was knowledgeable on the topic 1 2 3 4 5
8. I would be interested in attending a follow-up, more

advanced workshop on this same subject 1 2 3 4 5

1. Please rate the following:

Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor

1. Visuals ❑ ❑ ❑ ❑ ❑
2. Meeting space ❑ ❑ ❑ ❑ ❑
3. Handouts ❑ ❑ ❑ ❑ ❑
4. The program overall ❑ ❑ ❑ ❑ ❑

12. What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? Any suggestions for improvement?

**Your Background**

1. Which of the following **best** describes your current position?

❑ a. Senior Management ❑ d. Private Sector

❑ b. Junior Management ❑ c. Policy officer/advisor

❑ f. Other:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Future Needs**

1. Please describe two topics you would like to learn more about in the next 12 months:

Topic 1:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Preferred level: ❑ a. Introductory ❑ b. Intermediate ❑ c. Advanced

Topic 2:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Preferred level: ❑ a. Introductory ❑ b. Intermediate ❑ c. Advanced

**Thank you!**